NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

NeuroImage



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg

Tensor-based morphometry with stationary velocity field diffeomorphic registration: Application to ADNI

Matias Bossa, Ernesto Zacur, Salvador Olmos* 3

and Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative¹

GTC, Aragon Institute of Engineering Research, Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: 9 Received 22 July 2009 Revised 25 January 2010 10 Accepted 22 February 2010 11 12Available online xxxx 18 16 Keywords: Alzheimer's disease 17

6

37 36

51

18 Tensor-based morphometry

Diffeomorphic registration 19

ABSTRACT

Tensor-based morphometry (TBM) is an analysis technique where anatomical information is characterized 20 by means of the spatial transformations mapping a customized template with the observed images. 21 Therefore, accurate inter-subject non-rigid registration is an essential prerequisite for both template 22 estimation and image warping. Subsequent statistical analysis on the spatial transformations is performed to 23 highlight voxel-wise differences. Most of previous TBM studies did not explore the influence of the 24 registration parameters, such as the parameters defining the deformation and the regularization models. In 25 this work performance evaluation of TBM using stationary velocity field (SVF) diffeomorphic registration 26 was performed in a subset of subjects from Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) study. A 27 wide range of values of the registration parameters that define the transformation smoothness and the 28 balance between image matching and regularization were explored in the evaluation. The proposed 29 methodology provided brain atrophy maps with very detailed anatomical resolution and with a high 30 significance level compared with results recently published on the same data set using a non-linear elastic 31 registration method. 32

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. 33

34

Introduction 38

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common form of age-related 39 dementia and one of the most serious health problems in the indus-40 trialized world. It manifests with progressive cognitive decline initially 41 shown as memory loss and then spreads to affect all other cognitive 4243 faculties and the patients' ability to conduct an independent lifestyle. Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is a relatively recent concept introduced to 44 recognize the intermediate cognitive state where patients are neither 45cognitively intact nor demented (Petersen et al., 2001; Petersen, 2004; 46 47 Winblad et al., 2004). Some MCI patients harbor an alternative pathological diagnosis such as dementia with Lewy bodies, vascular 48 dementia, hippocampal sclerosis, frontotemporal dementia and even 49 50some MCI cases can also be attributed to non-degenerative pathology.

In spite of recent advances in understanding the genetics, neuropa-52thology and neuropsychology of AD, we are still lacking sensitive and

E-mail address: olmos@unizar.es (S. Olmos).

1053-8119/\$ - see front matter © 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.02.061

specific biological markers useful in the preclinical stages. AD-associated 53brain changes can be clinically evaluated in-vivo with the help of 54neuroimaging, using either structural technique such as magnetic 55resonance imaging (MRI) and diffusion tensor imaging or functional 56approaches such as positron emission tomography (Mosconi, 2005; 57Nordberg, 2008), functional MRI (Dickerson and Sperling, 2008), 58 arterial spin labeling (Du et al., 2006) and spectroscopy (Kantarci 59 et al., 2002; Modrego et al., 2005). Reliable biomarkers of the underlying 60 pathology that can also predict disease progression in MCI are needed 61 and several candidate brain measures have been examined in a wealth 62 of cross-sectional and longitudinal neuroimaging studies. 63

The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) (Mueller 64 et al., 2005a,b) is a large multi-site longitudinal structural MRI and 65 fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) study 66 of 800 adults, ages 55 to 90, including 200 elderly controls, 400 67 subjects with mild cognitive impairment, and 200 patients with AD. 68 The ADNI was launched in 2003 by the National Institute on Aging, the 69 National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, the Food 70 and Drug Administration, private pharmaceutical companies and non-71 profit organizations, as a \$60 million, 5-year public-private partner-72ship. The primary goal of ADNI has been to test whether serial MRI, 73 PET, other biological markers, and clinical and neuropsychological 74 assessment can be combined to measure the progression of MCI and 75 early AD. Determination of sensitive and specific markers of very early 76 AD progression is intended to aid researchers and clinicians to 77

^{*} Corresponding author. Dep. Ingenieria Electronica y Comunicaciones, Maria de Luna 1, 50018-Zaragoza, Spain.

Data analyzed in this manuscript were obtained from Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (http://www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI). As such, the investigators with the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of ADNI and/or provided but did not participate in the analysis or writing of this report. The complete list of ADNI investigators is available at (http://www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI/ Data/ADNI_Manuscript_Citations.doc).

2

81

91

develop new treatments and monitor their effectiveness, as well as 78 79 lessen the time and cost of clinical trials. Several brain morphometry studies on ADNI data have been already published (Fan et al., 2008a; 80 Hua et al., 2008a,b, 2009; Morra et al., 2008; Leow et al., 2009; Misra et al., 2009; Qiu et al., 2009; Schuff et al., 2009). 82

Nowadays several techniques for analysis of brain anatomy are 83 available. The oldest approach is the region of interest (ROI) technique 84 85 which measures the volume of specific brain structures. It relies on 86 delineation of the regions of interest. Volumetry is a powerful and 87 intuitive technique that has yielded a wealth of findings, but has some 88 drawbacks. ROI analysis requires an accurate *a priori* hypothesis, so 89 analyses often tend to be limited to one or two structures of interest. This limitation is important when complex and dynamic atrophy 90 patterns are sought, which is the case of AD. Hippocampus and entorhinal cortex are the regions more frequently analyzed in this 92 pathology (Laakso et al., 1995; Krasuski et al., 1998; Jack et al., 1999; 93 Du et al., 2001; Pennanen et al., 2004). In addition, when using manual 94 delineation, the ROI method is operator-dependent, susceptible to 95bias and time consuming (Barnes et al., 2009). 96

More specific and subtle shape information of particular regions or 97 structures, such as the hippocampus, has been analyzed by means of 98 statistical shape analysis. Different shape features have been used, 99 100 such as landmark coordinates (Csernansky et al., 2000, 2004), thickness or radial atrophy maps (Thompson et al., 2007; Querbes 101 et al., 2009; Qiu et al., 2009), and medial representations (Styner et al., 102 2003). However, these methods share some limitations with the ROI 103 analysis because an a priori hypothesis about the target structure is 104 105required together with the task of accurate delineation.

A different paradigm is to perform voxel-wise statistical analysis of 106 anatomical information for the whole brain volume. One of the 107 techniques belonging to this paradigm is tensor-based morphometry 108 109 (TBM), which identifies regional structural differences in the brain, 110 across groups or over time, from the gradients of the deformation fields that align images to a common anatomical template (Frack-111 owiak, 2004). The anatomical information is encoded in the spatial 112 transformation. Therefore, accurate inter-subject non-rigid registra-113 tion is an essential tool. Many different registration approaches have 114 115 been proposed, all having several tuning parameters, including parameters defining the deformation model, the regularization 116 model, the optimization technique and the interpolation approach. 117 With the new advent of recent and powerful non-rigid registration 118 119 algorithms based on the large deformation paradigm (Leow et al., 2007; Lepore et al., 2008; Brun et al., 2009), TBM is being increasingly 120 121 used. Subsequent statistical analysis is performed on the spatial 122 transformations to highlight statistical differences between groups (Chiang et al., 2007a,b), or to classify individuals into diagnostic labels 123 124 (Fan et al., 2008a,b; Duchesne et al., 2008). One of the simplest and most common TBM features is the determinant of the Jacobian matrix 125which can be interpreted as a local atrophy/expansion factor (Leow 126et al., 2006; Lepore et al., 2007; Chiang et al., 2007a; Lee et al., 2007). 127 More complete descriptors can be also used, such as the complete 128129Jacobian matrix J, or rotation-invariant features, such as the strain 130tensor $S = \sqrt{J^T J}$ (Lepore et al., 2006, 2008; Ridgway et al., 2008).

One of the main limitations of the TBM is the non-uniform 131distribution of the variance of the warpings, which is typically larger 132at cortical folds than in subcortical regions. This variance may be due 133134to anatomical variability and possible misregistration errors. Accordingly, subtle anatomical differences between groups may be unno-135ticed especially in these regions. 136

Even though many different non-rigid registration methods could be 137 considered as potentially suitable for TBM studies, the methods belonging 138 to the large deformation paradigm have the advantage of offering a large 139flexibility required to characterize the anatomical variability in cross-140 sectional studies of elderly subjects and dementia patients. Some of these 141 methods are fluid registration (Christensen et al., 1996; D'Agostino et al., 142 143 2003), the large deformation diffeomorphic metric mapping (LDDMM)

(Csernansky et al., 2000; Beg et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2007), diffeomorphic 144 demons (Vercauteren et al., 2007) and stationary velocity field (SVF) 145 diffeomorphic methods (Ashburner, 2007; Hernandez et al., 2007, 2009; 146 Vercauteren et al., 2008). The warping in all previous methods is a 147 diffeomorphism, which is an invertible and differentiable mapping 148 obtained by integrating a smooth velocity vector field. 149

SVF diffeomorphic registration has been recently proposed as a 150simplified version of the LDDMM algorithm, by constraining the para-151meterization to a stationary velocity field. With this simplified charac-152terization, the forward and backward integration of the velocity field are 153identified with the group exponential and can be computed using fast 154methods (Arsigny et al., 2006; Bossa et al., 2008) with smaller memory 155requirements than in the LDDMM method. To our knowledge, two 156diffeomorphic registration algorithms with SVF parameterization were 157proposed at about the same time (Hernandez et al., 2007; Ashburner, 158 2007). Both can be fitted in the same variational framework, with some 159differences in the optimization technique. At the same time an extension 160 of the demons registration method to diffeomorphic transformations 161 was proposed in Vercauteren et al. (2007) where a Lie group optimiza-162tion technique was used. The regularization in Vercauteren et al. (2007, 163 2009) was externally imposed by means of Gaussian smoothing. 164

The aim of this paper is twofold. Firstly, to illustrate that SVF 165 diffeomorphic registration may be a good choice for TBM studies 166 because it allows large deformations and offers a good accuracy/ 167 complexity trade-off. In particular, the SVF diffeomorphic registration 168method is used on the same data set analyzed in a recent TBM study 169using non-linear elastic registration (Hua et al., 2008a). Secondly, to 170quantify and illustrate the effect of using different values of the 171 registration parameters in a TBM study. In addition to SVF diffeo-172morphic registration, diffeomorphic demons² was also explored. 173

Materials and methods

Subjects

174 175

In this study we selected the same subset of 120 subjects from 176 ADNI database as in Hua et al. (2008a) in order to make an easier 177 comparison. To summarize, MRI baseline scans, divided into 3 groups: 178 40 healthy elderly individuals (denoted as Nor), 40 individuals with 179amnestic MCI, and 40 individuals with probable AD. Each group of 40 180 subjects was well matched in terms of gender and age. Likewise (Hua 181 et al., 2008a), an independent second group of normal subjects 182(denoted as Nor2), age- and gender-matched to the first group of 183 controls, was selected to test whether analysis techniques correctly 184 detect no differences when comparing the two independent groups of 185normal subjects. 186

All subjects underwent clinical/cognitive assessment at the time of 187 scan acquisition. As part of each subject's cognitive evaluation, the 188 Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) was performed to provide a 189global measure of mental status based on evaluation of five cognitive 190 domains. The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) was also assessed as a 191 measure of dementia severity. The elderly normal subjects had MMSE 192scores between 28 and 30 (inclusive), a global CDR of 0, and no 193 symptoms of depression, MCI, or other forms of dementia. The MCI 194subjects had MMSE scores in the range of 24 to 28, a global CDR of 0.5, 195and mild memory complaints, with memory impairment assessed via 196 education-adjusted scores on the Wechsler Memory Scale - Logical 197 Memory II. All AD patients met NINCDS/ADRDA criteria for probable AD 198 with an MMSE score between 20 and 23. As such, these subjects would 199 be considered as having mild to moderate, but not severe, AD. Table 1 200 shows a summary of demographic and clinical data. More details about 201 criteria for patient selection and exclusion can be found in Hua et al. 202 (2008a) and in the ADNI protocol (Mueller et al., 2005a,b). 203

² Diffeomorphic demons is available online at http://www.insight-journal.org/ browse/publication/154.

M. Bossa et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

23	Demographic data. Th	emographic data. The format of the values is average (min-max).					
4	Sex	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
5 6 7	N Age MMSE	21 76.4 (56-87) 21.7 (20-23)	19 75.6 (56–87) 22.1(20–23)	21 76.5 (57–88) 27.1 (26–28)	19 75.2 (55–86) 27.1 (26–28)	21 76.6 (63–85) 29.2 (28–30)	19 75.8 (62–89) 29.3 (28–30)

204 MRI acquisition, image correction and pre-processing

High-resolution structural brain MRI scans were acquired at 205multiple ADNI sites with 1.5T MRI scanners using the standard 206 207ADNI MRI protocol. For each subject, two T1-weighted MRI scans were collected using a sagittal 3D magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition 208 209with gradient echo (MP-RAGE) sequence with voxel size of $0.94 \times 0.94 \times 1.2$ mm³. The images were calibrated with phantom-210 based geometric corrections to ensure consistency among scans 211 212acquired at different sites. Additional image corrections included geometric distortion correction, bias field correction and geometrical 213scaling. See Hua et al. (2008a) for more details. The pre-processed 214 images are available to the scientific community and were down-215216loaded from the ADNI website.

217Brain images were intensity-normalized by means of histogram 218matching with a linear mapping that aligned the 95-th percentile of 219the intensity histogram to an intensity value of 95. To adjust for global 220differences in brain positioning and scale across individuals, all scans were linearly registered to the stereotaxic space defined by the 221222 International Consortium for Brain Mapping (ICBM-53) (Mazziotta et al., 2001) with an affine transformation (12 degrees of freedom). 223Aligned images were resampled in an isotropic space of 220 voxels 224 along each axis (x, y, and z) with a final voxel size of 1 mm³. 225

226 Stationary velocity field (SVF) diffeomorphic registration

The registration method can be formulated as a variational problem, where the cost function to be minimized contains an image matching term E_1 between a template image T and a target image I and a regularization term E_2 in order to guarantee the smoothness of the transformation,

$$E(T,I;\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} E_1\left(T\left(\varphi^{-1}\right),I\right) + E_2(\varphi),\tag{1}$$

where the weight σ (regularization parameter) balances the relative importance between image matching and regularization, and φ is the template warping parameterized as

$$\varphi(x) = \phi_1(x) \text{ where } \begin{cases} \frac{d\phi_t(x)}{dt} = v(\phi_t(x)) \\ \phi_0 = Id \end{cases}$$
(2)

236 being v a stationary velocity vector field and the group exponential mapping is defined as $\exp(tv) \equiv \phi_t$. In this work we selected the Sum of 238 239 Squared Differences (SSD) as matching criteria E_1 and the regularization term as $E_2(v) = \int (Lv)^2 dx$ being L a linear invertible differential 240 operator. The L operator was chosen as in Beg et al. (2005), L = Id - Id241 242 $\alpha \Delta$, where Δ is the Laplacian operator and the parameter α penalizes up to second-order derivatives of the velocity field. All in all, the cost 243 function is given by 244

$$E(T,I;\nu) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \int (T(\exp(-\nu)) - I)^2 dx + \int ((Id - \alpha \Delta)\nu)^2 dx.$$
(3)

246

The optimization was performed with a non-linear conjugategradient strategy (Nocedal and Wright, 1999; Hager and Zhang, 2006), i.e. the search direction is a linear combination of the negative 249 gradient direction and the search direction from the previous 250 iteration. The gradient of Eq. (3) was computed as 251

$$\nabla_{\nu} E(I,t;\nu) = 2\nu - \frac{2}{\sigma^2} H^{-1} \int_0^1 \left| \det D\phi_{1-t} \right| (T(\phi_{-t}) - I(\phi_{1-t})) \nabla_x T(\phi_{-t}) dt$$
(4)

being $H = L^{\dagger}L$, L^{\dagger} , the adjoint operator of *L* and $D\phi$ the Jacobian matrix. **253** Note that *H* is a smoothing kernel with a tuning parameter α . The 254 amount of spatial correlation increases with larger values of the 255 smoothing parameter α . 256

Additional implementation details are the following ones: a multi-257scale pyramidal approach with 4 levels was used for computa-258 tional savings and avoiding local minima; the exponential mapping 259was implemented as a forward Euler integration with 50 steps 260because this standard evolution method offered a good trade-off 261 between accuracy and computational time (Bossa et al., 2008); 262the Laplacian operator was a centered-stencil; the filter H and its 263inverse were applied in the Fourier domain inducing periodic boun-264dary conditions. 265

An average template is one of the key components of TBM studies. 267It provides a coordinate system where all image samples are 268 registered. In order to make automatic registration easier and more 269 robust, the template must represent common intensity and geometric 270features from the group of images. A common solution found in the 271literature is the estimation of an unbiased average template image by 272minimizing the deformations (Joshi et al., 2004; Hua et al., 2008a). 273When the registration method is not accurate enough to match 274anatomical structures, the unbiased template becomes smooth. This 275lack of sharp anatomical details in the template may reduce the 276sensitivity of a TBM study to detect subtle brain volume changes 277(Studholme et al., 2004). 278

In this work the unbiased template T was estimated from images of 279the Nor group, likewise in Hua et al. (2008a) because we assume 280 that the disease process is one of structural removal and the 281 morphometry analyses would be limited to those structures remain-282 ing in the disease group. An initial affine average atlas was estimated 283 by means of voxel-wise averaging of all intensity- and spatial-284normalized Nor group images. Next, an iterative process was used 285to estimate the template, including three stages for each iteration: 286non-linear registration of the affine-aligned images $\{I^i\}_{i=1}^{40}$ to the 287current estimated template; computing the bi-invariant mean 288 $\overline{\Phi} = \exp(\overline{v})$ (Arsigny, 2006) of all warpings $\phi^i = \exp(v^i)$, and finally 289 image intensities are averaged after subtracting the mean warping 290 $t = 1/N \sum_{i} l^{i} (\phi^{i} \circ \exp(-\overline{\nu}))$. Convergence is obtained after a few 291 (typically less than 5) iterations. 292

As the particular values of the registration parameters have a 293 strong impact in the final registration result, we estimated the control 294 group atlas using a set of values of the parameters { α , σ } defined in 295 Eq. (3). The average template better representing the anatomical 296 details of the Nor group was selected for all subsequent analysis using 297 visual criteria. 298

4

M. Bossa et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

Brain atrophy statistical maps 299

To quantify the spatial distribution of brain atrophy³ in MCI and AD 300 301 groups compared to the Nor group, the template was non-linearly registered to all individual brains (N = 120). The Jacobian map shows 302 the spatial distribution of the Jacobian matrix determinant of the 303 mapping and reflects the local brain volume change relative to the 304 template. These Jacobian maps share a common anatomical coordi-305 306 nate system. Hypothesis testing was performed at each voxel to assess 307 mean difference between patient groups. Voxel-wise two sample 308 Student's *t*-test with unequal variance on the log of Jacobian determinants was used. The log transformation helps to make the 309 distribution of Jacobian determinants closer to a Gaussian distribu-310 311 tion, which is the main assumption for the statistical test. This spatial distribution is denoted here as brain atrophy statistical map. 312

Regression maps between brain atrophy and clinical measurements 313

314 Any quantitative measure or surrogate marker estimated from 315 MRI, such as local brain atrophy, has greater value if it can be shown to correlate with established measures of cognitive or clinical decline, or 316 with future outcome measures. At each voxel, linear regressions were 317 assessed between the log Jacobian determinant from all subjects 318 (N=120) and some clinical variables. The spatial distribution of the 319 320 relations between local brain atrophy and clinical variables may provide valuable information to interpret the clinical effect of brain 321 atrophy. 322

Informative regression parameters or features potentially useful 323 324 for statistical maps are regression strength, usually quantified by the correlation coefficient r, regression significance, typically measured as 325 a *p*-value, and the regression coefficients. All these measures were 326 327 explored by means of statistical maps using parametric techniques. Voxel-wise regression F-test was used to assess significance of the 328 329 linear model.

Student's t-statistic supra-threshold volume (STV) plots 330

While in probability theory and statistics, the definition of 331 cumulative distribution function (CDF) involves integration of a 332 333 probability density function, in some recent neuroimaging studies (Leow et al., 2007; Lepore et al., 2008; Hua et al., 2008a) CDF has been 334 used to quantify the number of voxels from a statistical map that 335 achieve a significance level p. In these works, CDF plots were used to 336 compare the statistical power of detecting significant effects using 337 338 different experimental conditions or even different methods in TBM studies. 339

A small variant is proposed here: instead of p-values, the Student's 340 341 *t*-statistic is used. The first advantage of using *t*-statistic is that sign information (either atrophy or expansion) is preserved. The second 342 343 one comes from the fact that while *p*-values can be estimated using several methods, either parametric or non-parametric, providing 344 different results, Student's t-statistic is a much simpler measurement. 345 Therefore, supra-threshold volume (STV) plots illustrate the number 346 of voxels in a statistical map having a Student's t-statistic larger than a 347 348 given t-threshold.

Correction for multiple comparisons 349

In order to correct for multiple comparisons false positive rate must 350 be controlled. There are several false positive measures in the multiple 351352 testing problem. The standard measure is the familywise error rate (FWE) which quantifies the probability of observing at least one false 353

positive (Hochberg and Tamhane, 1987; Nichols and Hayasaka, 2003). 354 False discovery rate (FDR), defined as the expected fraction of false 355 positives under the null hypothesis, was proposed later as a less 356 conservative measure than FWE (Benjamini and Yosef, 1995). In this 357 work both FWE- and FDR-based methods were used. 358

An omnibus test in order to control FDR was used as in previous 359 neuroimaging studies (Chiang et al., 2007b; Hua et al., 2008a; Lepore 360 et al., 2008; Leow et al., 2009). The null distribution was built using 361 random permutations of the diagnostic labels. The number of voxels 362 with larger significance than a *p*-threshold was computed in the real 363 experiment and in the random assignments. The overall *p*-value for 364 the significance of the map was obtained as the proportion of events 365 with larger number of voxels for the randomized maps than for the 366 original labeling. 367

A different alternative is to control FWE. Strong control of the FWE 368 requires that false positives are controlled for each voxel in the 369 statistical map where the null hypothesis holds, allowing localization 370 of the particular significant voxels. This localization is essential to 371 neuroimaging. FWE is usually analyzed by means of the distribution of 372 the maximum statistic (Nichols and Hayasaka, 2003). In this work 373 random permutations were used to empirically estimate the 374 distribution of the maximum statistic. The 100(1-p)-th percentile 375 of this distribution defines a threshold t_p for the statistical map that 376 controls FWE at a level p. 377

Region of interest statistical analysis

In order to summarize the statistical map information from the 379 voxel level to the ROI level, a scalar descriptor of the ROI is often 380 computed. Many authors use the average Jacobian determinant which 381 is a feature with a very intuitive interpretation: relative volume 382 change of the ROI. The subsequent statistical analysis can be 383 performed with univariate hypothesis testing. The results from this 384 analysis could be directly compared with a rich list of manual 385 volumetry studies performed on AD/MCI neuroimaging studies 386 (Apostolova and Thompson, 2008). The main difference between 387 both approaches is the consideration of either automatic or manual 388 methods. In this work we used the average Jacobian determinant as 389 ROI feature and statistical group analysis was performed by means of 390 Student's t-test. 391

Several subcortical regions of interest (ROIs) were automatically 392 delineated at the template: hippocampus, amygdala, caudate nucleus, 393 thalamus, putamen, pallidum and nucleus accumbens. These subcortical nuclei were automatically segmented using the tool FIRST 395 (Patenaude, 2007) from FSL package (Smith et al., 2004). Brain 396 extraction tool, also from FSL package was also used in order to define 397 a whole brain mask. All segmentations were visually checked. Only 398 the brain mask was manually edited. 399

Results

Unbiased template

A wide range of different unbiased templates from the control 402group images were obtained using different values of the registration 403 parameters { α , σ } in Eq. (3) that define the amount of smoothness and 404 the balance between intensity matching and regularization respec-405tively. Fig. 1 illustrates a sagittal view of the Nor group template 406 estimated using the following values of the registration parameters 407 $\alpha = [0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10]$ and $\sigma = [0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5]$. 408

Large values of the regularization parameter, i.e., $\sigma = 5$, produce an 409important blur in the templates for all values of the smoothing 410 parameter α . On the other hand, unrealistic structures can be seen in 411 most of the templates using $\alpha \leq 2$ (see corpus callosum-lateral 412 ventricle boundary). A possible reason can be that small values of 413

394

378

400

401

 $^{^{3}\,}$ As cross-sectional data is used in this work, brain atrophy/expansion refers to the volume change factor compared to the normal group, and not the usual concept of volume change along time.

M. Bossa et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx



Fig. 1. Illustration of sagittal views of the unbiased template of the Nor group with different values of the registration parameters { α, σ }.

the smoothing parameter α yield many local minima in the energy function to be minimized by the registration algorithm.

The values of the registration parameters in the interval { $\alpha = [5, 10], \sigma = [1, 2]$ } provide a good trade-off between regularization and smoothing. We visually checked that these templates preserve most of the anatomical details of the normal brain anatomy. For the rest of the study, the template was chosen as the one obtained with the values { $\alpha = 5, \sigma = 1$ }.

422 Student's t-statistic STV plots

423 In order to compute non-rigid registration from the template to all brain images, the range of values of the registration parameters $\{\alpha, \sigma\}$ 424 were slightly adjusted according to the results shown in Fig. 1. The 425value of σ = 5 was disregarded because the corresponding template 426 did not show enough anatomical detail due to poor image matching; 427 428 additionally a larger value of the smoothness parameter was 429 considered. The new set of values of the registration parameters were $\alpha = [0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20]$ and $\sigma = [0.2, 0.5, 1, 2]$. 430

The STV curves of the Student's *t*-statistic in Fig. 2 illustrate the 431 sensitivity to detect significant brain volume changes between AD-432 433 Nor and MCI-Nor groups when using different values of the registration parameters { α , σ }. The STV curves corresponding to the 434 null distribution were also computed comparing the two independent 435normal groups (Nor–Nor2). As only large values of the t-statistic are of 436 interest, either positive for atrophy or negative for expansion, the 437horizontal axis shows values $|t| \ge 3$. 438

439An important asymmetry between atrophy and expansion can be440observed in Fig. 2. For large enough values of the smoothing441parameter α , the number of voxels with significant atrophy is larger442than for expansion with the same significance level.

Most of the STV curves for AD–Nor group comparison show an increasing sensitivity to detect brain volume changes when increasing the value of the smoothing parameter α . The values of the registration parameters yielding voxels with larger *t*-statistic are { $\alpha = [5, 10], \sigma = 2$ }.

For each curve, a random permutation test with 10,000 permutations was performed to estimate the t_p -threshold that controls FWE 448 with significance level p. The values of t_p are illustrated in Fig. 2 for p = 449 [0.05, 0.01, 0.005]. All STV curves of the AD–Nor group comparison 450 showed FWE-corrected significant voxels at level p = 0.05. 451

The optimal pattern for a STV curve would be the one that 452 maximizes the number of voxels with higher significance, i.e. larger 453 values of |t|. As the regularization is an extra penalty term to ensure 454 smoothness of the mapping, a reasonable criterion could be to select 455 the lowest value of α among the values that achieve a similar pattern 456 of the STV curve. Accordingly, the values of the registration parameters { α [5, 10], σ =2} would be a good choice. 458

Brain atrophy statistical maps

In order to illustrate the effect of using different values of the 460registration parameters in the spatial distribution of the brain 461 atrophy, three sets of values were selected to represent different 462conditions: low-level smoothing with small regularization { $\alpha = 0.5$, 463 $\sigma = 0.5$ }, large smoothing with large regularization { $\alpha = 20, \sigma = 2$ } 464 and a point with intermediate smoothing { $\alpha = 5$, $\sigma = 2$ }. These 465 working conditions are a representative sample of the different 466 performance of STV curves illustrated in Fig. 2. Student's *t*-statistic 467 maps are shown in Fig. 3. 468

Assessment of statistical significance corrected for multiple 469 comparisons is required in order to compare and to give an 470 interpretation to Student's *t*-maps. For each value of the registration 471

459

M. Bossa et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx



Fig. 2. STV plot of the Student's *t*-statistic in the brain mask for different values of the registration parameters {α, σ}. For each curve, there are marks showing the *t*_p-threshold</sub> controlling FWE at level p = [0.05, 0.01, 0.005] (horizontal axis) as well as the number of voxels in the statistical map where $t > t_p$ -threshold (vertical axis).

parameters { α , σ }, 10,000 random permutations were used to correct 472 473 for multiple comparisons with FWE- and FDR-based methods. Fig. 4 illustrates the corrected *p*-values for the three set of values of the 474 registration parameters shown in Fig. 3. As statistical maps are 475typically shown with either t- or uncorrected p-value maps, two panels 476 were used to illustrate the dependence of the corrected *p*-values on 477 both measures. This information is redundant due to the known 478 mapping between *t*-statistic and uncorrected *p*-values, but it may be 479helpful for comparison purposes. Note that while a t-threshold is used 480 to control FWE, uncorrected p-value thresholds are used to estimate 481 the overall significance. 482

Using different values of the registration parameters $\{\alpha, \sigma\}$ provide 483atrophy maps with different amount of spatial correlation, and 484 therefore the severity of the correction for multiple comparison will 485 change. However, the values of the *t*-threshold t_p controlling for 486 487 FWE at level *p* for all values of $\{\alpha, \sigma\}$ differ in less than 0.5 units (see Figs. 2 and 4). This difference is difficult to appreciate in the Student's 488 t-statistic maps in Fig. 3. 489

Due to the fact that several values of the registration parameters 490 were explored, an additional correction for multiple comparisons 491 can be performed. For strong control of FWE, the distribution of the 492maximum statistic under the null hypothesis must be estimated. 493 Accordingly, the maximum is computed not only across the voxels 494 but also across the whole set of parameters { α , σ }. The mapping 495between *t*-threshold and this corrected *p*-value which takes into 496 account the whole set of comparisons is also shown in the left panel of 497Fig. 4. 498

Brain atrophy statistical maps are strongly influenced by the 499 values of the registration parameters { α , σ } used during the 500estimation of the warping between each subject and the template. 501 In general, larger regions with significant differences between 502groups are obtained for larger values of the smoothing parameter α . 503

M. Bossa et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx



Fig. 3. One coronal and sagittal view of brain atrophy statistical maps of AD–Nor and MCI–Nor groups with different values of {α, σ}. Color-bar values denote Student's *t*-statistic. Red/ blue color denotes atrophy/expansion respectively. Note that different color map scales are used in AD–Nor and MCI–Nor comparisons. Vertical lines define slice locations.

504However, too large values of α may produce smoothed statistical maps. For example, the statistical maps of the intermediate point 505 $\{\alpha = 5, \sigma = 2\}$ in Fig. 3 show regions with sharp boundaries in 506agreement with anatomical structures affected by dementia, while 507the corresponding maps when using $\{\alpha = 20, \sigma = 2\}$ are blurred. See 508 for example the boundaries of the parahippocampal gyrus in the AD-509Nor comparison. Other structures with significant atrophy, such as the 510 frontal part of the insula, are better represented when using { $\alpha = 5$, 511 $\sigma = 2$ } than { $\alpha = 20, \sigma = 2$ }. When comparing AD–Nor versus MCI– 512Nor patient groups, AD group showed larger areas with stronger 513significance affected by brain atrophy. 514

Fig. 5 shows in more detail the AD–Nor brain atrophy map for the intermediate point, i.e., the values of the registration parameters are Spanish{ $\alpha = 5, \sigma = 2$ }. The following brain structures showed atrophy with a strong significance: left (see slice 1) and right (slices 3–4) superior temporal sulcus; bilateral posterior part of the cingulate 519gyrus (precuneus region) at slices 1–5; bilateral temporo-occipital 520sulcus at slices 1–2, with larger significance at the left side; bilateral 521hippocampus at slices 2-6, mainly affecting subiculum and CA1 522regions; bilateral entorhinal cortex and parahippocampal gyrus at 523slices 4-7; bilateral amygdala at slice 7; temporal pole, more 524pronounced at right side (slice 9); anterior part of the right insula 525at slice 11 and axial slice, with a lower significance at the left insula 526 (slice 10). 527

Regression analysis maps

Voxel-wise linear regression analysis was performed with the 529 following clinical variables: *MMSE*_{baseline}, *MMSE*_{12month} and *age*. The 530 interest here is not to discuss deeply the clinical interpretation of the 531

528

M. Bossa et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx



Fig. 4. Illustration of corrected *p*-value (either FWE *p* or overall *p*) versus Student's *t*-statistic (left) and uncorrected *p*-value (right) for several values of the registration parameters $\{\alpha, \sigma\}$. FWE *p*-values when controlling multiple comparisons taking into account the complete set of parameters are also shown in the left panel (FWE all param).

relationship between brain atrophy and clinical measurements, but to illustrate the performance of the regression maps obtained with SVF diffeomorphic registration. Fig. 6 shows the spatial distribution of some regression features, such as the coefficient of determination r^2 , regression significance (uncorrected *p*-value) and regression coefficient. These statistical maps were obtained with registration parameters { $\alpha = 5, \sigma = 2$ }. It can be seen that Jacobian determinants at the hippocampus and amygdala showed a positive (right panel in Fig. 6) 539



Fig. 5. AD–Nor brain atrophy statistical map with registration parameter values { $\alpha = 5, \sigma = 2$ }. The white lines in the axial slice specify slice locations of the coronal views. Color-bar values denote Student's *t*-statistic (and significance quantified as $-\log_{10} p$). Red/blue color denotes atrophy/expansion respectively.

M. Bossa et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx



Fig. 6. A sagittal view of the statistical maps of regression between log Jacobian values and the following variables: MMSE_{baseline} (top), MMSE_{12month} (middle) and age (bottom). Red/ blue color denotes positive/negative values.

and significant (left panel in Fig. 6) relation with MMSE_{baseline}, because 540smaller values of the Jacobian determinants were related to lower 541MMSE scores. Note that the *p*-value regression map with *MMSE*_{baseline} 542is similar to the AD-Nor atrophy statistical map in Fig. 3. This result 543was expected because the clinical variable MMSE_{baseline} is closely 544related to the diagnostic label that defines patient groups. It can be 545noted that the atrophy-age regression maps have a completely 546 different pattern: the most significant correlation was found in the 547 lateral ventricles, which was positive, i.e. an increase in age was 548 linearly related to expansion of the ventricles. In contrast, the regions 549showing a stronger linear relation between brain atrophy and 550cognitive status, either MMSE_{baseline} or MMSE_{12month}, were located at 551552structures known to be affected by dementia, such as hippocampus and amygdala. 553

554 Region of interest analysis

555In order to assess statistical differences in the volume of sub-556cortical regions across patient groups, univariate hypothesis testing was performed on the ROI-average Jacobian determinant of the 557mappings. Among the analyzed structures, only amygdalae and 558hippocampi presented significant volume differences, both in AD-559560Nor and MCI-Nor group comparisons. Fig. 7 shows the values of the Student's t-statistic for the whole set of values of the registration 561parameters { α , σ }. It can be noted that the magnitude of the *t*-statistic 562 in the ROI is smaller than the voxel-wise brain statistical maps due to 563the spatial averaging performed in the ROI analysis, especially at those 564structures with a heterogeneous atrophy. In our case, while the 565atrophy distribution at the amygdala was roughly homogeneous, an 566 important heterogeneity was found in the hippocampus. Again, a 567good candidate of the registration parameter values is { $\alpha = 5, \sigma = 2$ } 568569because it yields large differences between patient groups.

Discussion

Two main contributions can be highlighted from this study. First, 571 stationary velocity field (SVF) diffeomorphic registration seems to be 572 an appropriate method for TBM studies on Alzheimer's disease 573patients for the following reasons: it allows large deformations 574while preserving smoothness of the mapping, the computational 575requirements are not very high (typical computation time between 5761 h and 2 h in a 64-bit 2.33 GHz processor for an image volume of 577 $220 \times 220 \times 220$) and more importantly because it provides brain 578atrophy maps with excellent spatial resolution. The second contribu-579 tion is a thorough description of the effects of using different values of 580non-rigid registration parameters at several stages of a TBM study: 581template estimation, brain atrophy statistical maps and ROI analysis. 582

Selection of registration parameters

Even though the idea of exploring the values of the registration 584parameters is very old and recognized by many authors, the piece of 585information presented here is relevant because it provides criteria to 586 select reasonable values. In this work we only explored two 587 parameters: the coefficient α that specifies smoothness properties of 588 the regularizer (in particular it penalizes up to second-order 589derivatives of the velocity field), and the relative weight $1/\sigma^2$ between 590image matching and regularization (see Eq. (3)). We illustrated the 591 effect of varying these tuning parameters on the two most important 592stages of a TBM study: the template estimation and the statistical 593analysis of the warpings. In our experiments the parameter selection 594was performed in two stages. First, a reasonable template was visually 595selected after exploring tuning parameters. Secondly, statistical 596 analysis for different values of the registration parameters was 597performed using a fixed template. We found that the effect of 598

9

570

583

M. Bossa et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx



Fig. 7. Student's *t*-statistic on volume difference between patient groups using different values of the registration parameters { α , σ }. The ROIs are left/right amygdala and hippocampus.

registration parameters in the performance of statistical analysis is much stronger than in the template estimation. Look for example at the differences in STV curves for parameter values { α =5, σ =2} and { α =5, σ =0.5} (Fig. 2) and ROI analysis (Fig. 7) compared to the small differences between the corresponding templates (Fig. 1).

Interestingly, the parameter values, { $\alpha = [5, 10], \sigma = 2$ }, obtained 604 roughly the best performance under most criteria: its corresponding 605 template showed sharp details of the brain anatomy and does not 606 607 contain artificial structures (see Fig. 1); the STV curves for these values 608 of the registration parameters showed the largest number of voxels with highest magnitude of t-statistic (see Fig. 2) and a very low rate of volume 609 change detections when comparing the two independent normal 610 groups (see Fig. 2); the brain atrophy statistical maps when comparing 611 AD–Nor and MCI–Nor groups with { $\alpha = 5, \sigma = 2$ } showed significant 612 613 regions with anatomically-defined boundaries and located at structures known to be affected by dementia (see Figs. 3-5); the ROI analysis, 614 which can be interpreted as a volumetry analysis where delineation of 615 the region is automatically performed with an atlas-based segmentation 616 approach, showed that the same set of parameter values is a good choice 617 618 for maximizing the statistical significance of volume difference of the hippocampus and amygdala between patient groups (see Fig. 7). 619

Regarding the selection of the template, future studies will consider quantitative measures for performance evaluation. For example, a common performance measure of the template is the variance (Allassonniere et al., 2007), i.e. distance between observed images and the template.

625 STV curves

Previous studies have used CDF plots of the uncorrected *p*-value in 626 linear scale in order to assess statistical power for group analysis in 627 TBM studies (Chiang et al., 2007a; Leow et al., 2007; Hua et al., 2008a; 628 Leow et al., 2009). Log-scale representation has been used to focus on 629 the most significant p-values (Ridgway et al., 2008). Taking into 630 account that at distribution's tail there is an almost linear relationship 631 between *t*-statistic and log(p), the Student's *t*-statistic STV plot is 632 roughly equivalent to a CDF plot in log scale, but with the additional 633 advantage that the atrophy/expansion information is preserved. 634

In most of the STV curves the sensitivity to detect volume changes in the AD–Nor group comparison increases with the smoothing and regularization parameters, α and σ respectively (see Fig. 2). However, in the curve with the largest values of regularization and smoothing parameters there is an important reduction of the number of voxels with largest *t*-statistic. This results shows that too much spatial correlation in the warpings degrades the sensitivity. 641

Regarding to the sign information in the STV curves, it can 642 be noted from Fig. 2 that brain atrophy regions are larger and 643 present higher significance than expansion regions for large enough 644 values of the smoothing parameter α . This asymmetry is more 645 pronounced in the AD–Nor group comparison but also visible when 646 comparing MCI-Nor groups. This result is in agreement with the 647 fact that the main reported sign of AD observed on MRI images is 648 brain tissue atrophy of particular structures, starting at the temporal 649 lobes. 650

651

Brain atrophy statistical maps

Comparing to previous whole brain morphometry studies, 652 including voxel-based morphometry and TBM (Apostolova and 653 Thompson, 2008, and references therein), the statistical maps 654illustrated in this work showed a much higher spatial resolution. In 655 particular, when comparing AD-Nor groups, the following regions 656 showed significant atrophy bilaterally: superior temporal sulcus, 657 posterior part of the cingulate gyrus (precuneus region), temporo-658 occipital sulcus, hippocampus mainly affecting subiculum and CA1 659 regions, entorhinal cortex and parahippocampal gyrus, amygdala, the 660 temporal pole, and the anterior part of the insula. When comparing 661 MCI and normal groups, the regions with significant brain atrophy 662 were smaller than in the AD case, but most of them presented again 663 sharp anatomical boundaries of structures known to be affected by 664 the dementia (Braak and Braak, 1995). 665

In our opinion, a good criterion for selecting the values of the 666 registration parameters is the anatomical resolution of the brain 667 atrophy maps. While the anatomical knowledge of pathology-induced 668 changes in some brain disorders is relatively small, AD pathology is 669 well-known to affect several specific structures (Braak and Braak, 670 1995). STV (and CDF) curves are compact descriptions of a brain 671 atrophy map where the anatomical information is lost. Therefore they 672 are not suitable for using such a priori information, unless the STV 673 curve is computed within a pathology-related region. 674

M. Bossa et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx



Fig. 8. STV curves of the Student's *t*-statistic in the brain mask for different values of the registration parameters for diffeomorphic demons (left) and SVF diffeomorphic (right) registration methods. Only brain atrophy for the Nor–AD group comparison is shown. The star marks illustrate the t_p -threshold controlling FWE_{0.05} for a few selected values of the parameters corresponding to the brain atrophy statistical maps shown in Figs. 3 and 9.

675 Regression analysis

676 Regression analysis allowed to find linear relations between brain atrophy and clinical measurements. For example, brain tissue atrophy 677 of elderly normal subjects, i.e. due to normal aging, is a global process 678 affecting many different structures of the brain. In this case the 679 atrophy is typically manifested as a lateral ventricle expansion 680 681 because it is a compensatory effect while the tissue atrophy has a much disperse spatial distribution. Accordingly, the regions with the 682 largest significance in the atrophy-age regression map were at the 683 lateral ventricles. In contrast, AD manifests as brain tissue atrophy at 684 specific structures in a known time-course, starting at the medial 685 temporal lobe. Consequently, regression maps with a clinical variable 686 of cognitive status showed that there was a significant linear relation 687 between brain atrophy of hippocampus and amygdala with current 688 cognitive status, i.e., MMSE_{baseline} and also even with future cognitive 689 690 status, MMSE_{12month}. This latter behavior is in agreement with previous hypothesis considering that brain atrophy could be used as 691 an early marker of cognitive decline (Davatzikos et al., 2008) 692

693 Registration methods for TBM studies

Non-rigid registration is one of the key techniques in a TBM study 694 and aims at defining anatomical correspondences between different 695 696 brains. The strategies used to ensure the smoothness of the mapping by most of the registration methods belonging to the small 697 698 deformation paradigm are based on either a parametric characterization of the mapping (Good et al., 2001; Studholme et al., 2004) or 699 regularization of the displacement field (Thirion, 1998; Modersitzki, 700 2004; Hua et al., 2008a). In both cases the spatial frequency of the 701 702 mapping is smoothed or band-limited, introducing a lower bound of 703 the spatial resolution in a TBM study. In contrast, the regularization of the registration methods belonging to the large deformation para-704 digm is usually achieved by smoothing the velocity field instead of 705 using an explicit smoothing of the mapping. As a consequence, there is 706 no explicit bound of the spatial resolution of the mapping apart from 707 the spatial sampling of the images. 708

In order to illustrate the effect of the values of the registration
parameters in other registration methods we performed the same
analysis using diffeomorphic demons (Vercauteren et al., 2007, 2009.
We selected this method because it is available online,⁴ it allows large
deformations while preserving topology, and at the same time it is
based on a quite different strategy for regularization compared to SVF.
Two smoothing kernels need to be defined in diffeomorphic demons:

 k_{diff} and k_{fluid} , which are governed by the scale parameters *s* and *g*, 716 respectively. The following set of the parameter values was used, *s* = 717 [0.5, 1, 2, 4] and g = [0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8], where a wide range of performances is observed with an 'optimal' STV curve inside the interval. 719

Fig. 8 illustrates the STV curves corresponding to brain atrophy for 720 both registration methods, diffeomorphic demons and SVF diffeo-721 morphic registration, when comparing AD and Nor groups. It is clearly 722 shown that the number of voxels and the significance level strongly 723 depend on the values of the registration parameters for both methods. 724 Likewise in the SVF registration method, extreme values (either too 725small or large) of the diffeomorphic demons registration parameters 726produced STV curves far from the 'optimal' pattern. Even though SVF 727 diffeomorphic registration obtained a larger sensitivity than diffeo-728morphic demons for detecting statistical differences between Nor and 729AD groups, one should be cautious before extrapolating this behavior 730 to other performance measures and application domains, such as 731 atlas-based segmentation, and even on a different set of images. 732

A few examples of the brain atrophy statistical maps obtained with 733 diffeomorphic demons are shown in Fig. 9; they can be directly 734 compared with the results obtained with SVF diffeomorphic registra-735 tion (see Fig. 3). The brain atrophy statistical map with parameter 736 values $\{s=2, g=6\}$ lacks anatomical details probably due to a high 737 level of smoothing. In contrast, the parameter values $\{s = 1, g = 2\}$ 738 yielded a map with higher spatial resolution but with a much lower 739 significance level (note the different scale of the color map). The 740 intermediate point $\{s=2, g=2\}$ shows a compromise between re-741 solution and significance. 742

Recent TBM studies on ADNI data

In two previous cross-sectional TBM studies on ADNI data (Hua 744 et al., 2008a,b) with population size N = 120 and 676 subjects 745respectively as well as in a longitudinal study with 100 subjects 746 (Leow et al., 2009), the brain atrophy statistical maps had a poor 747 spatial resolution compared with their template. Brain atrophy was 748 found at regions without anatomically-driven boundaries providing 749 larger volumes of brain atrophy at white matter tissue than at gray 750 matter. Moreover, the tissue (gray and white matter) close to CSF 751 showed Jacobian determinants larger than one. In our opinion this 752observed tissue expansion is mainly artificial due to the limited spatial 753 resolution of the non-rigid registration method as pointed out in Hua 754 et al. (2008a,b) and Leow et al. (2009). In contrast, in this work SVF 755diffeomorphic registration yielded brain atrophy statistical maps with 756 significant regions in gray matter tissue delimited by sharp anatom-757 ical boundaries in the same data set as in Hua et al. (2008a). For 758 example, entorhinal cortex and parahippocampal gyrus showed a 759

743

⁴ http://www.insight-journal.org/browse/publication/154.

M. Bossa et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx



Fig. 9. One coronal and sagittal view of brain atrophy statistical maps of AD–Nor with different values of {*s*, *g*} in diffeomorphic demons. Color-bar values denote Student's *t*-statistic. Red/blue color denotes atrophy/expansion respectively. Note that a different color map scale is used in the left column.

very significant atrophy in Figs. 3–5. These thin cortical regions are especially relevant because they are affected at the early stages of the disease (Braak and Braak, 1995).

In this work a small subset of baseline images, N = 120, from ADNI 763764 database was used for two main reasons: to be able to make a fair and more direct comparison with a recent TBM study based on a non-765 linear elastic registration method (Hua et al., 2008a) as well as to 766 allow a feasible computation time when exploring several values of 767 the registration parameters. Ongoing work in our group is focused on 768 a TBM study with the complete data set from ADNI database with 769 values of registration parameters learnt from this work. We 770 hypothesize that with a larger data set the brain atrophy maps 771 obtained with SVF diffeomorphic registration will show an improved 772 anatomical resolution of the structures affected by atrophy. 773

774 Acknowledgments

This work was partially funded by research grants TEC2006-13966-C03-02 and TEC2009-14587-C03-01 from CICYT, TSI-020110-2009-362 from MITC and PI100/08 from DGA, Spain.

We thank X. Hua for providing the list of subjects analyzed in Hua
et al. (2008a) in order to make an easier performance comparison. We
also thank to the reviewers who helped to improve the manuscript.

781 Data collection and sharing for this project were funded by the 782 Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI; Principal Investigator: Michael Weiner; NIH grant U01 AG024904). ADNI is funded 783by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical 784Imaging and Bioengineering (NIBIB), and through generous contribu-785tions from the following: Pfizer Inc., Wyeth Research, Bristol-Myers 786 Squibb, Eli Lilly and Company, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck and Co. Inc., 787 AstraZeneca AB, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Alzheimer's 788 Association, Eisai Global Clinical Development, Elan Corporation plc, 789 Forest Laboratories, and the Institute for the Study of Aging, with 790 participation from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Industry 791 partnerships are coordinated through the Foundation for the National 792 Institutes of Health. The grantee organization is the Northern 793 California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is 794 795 coordinated by the Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study at the University of California, San Diego. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory of NeuroImaging at the University of California, Los Angeles. 798

References

- Allassonniere, S., Amit, Y., Trouve, A., 2007. Towards a coherent statistical framework for dense deformable template estimation. J. R. Stat. Soc. B. Stat. Methodol. 69, 3–29.
- Apostolova, L.G., Thompson, P.M., 2008. Mapping progressive brain structural changes in early Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. Neuropsychologia 46 (6), 1597–1612 (http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.10.026).
- Arsigny, V., 2006. Processing data in Lie groups: An algebraic approach. application to non-linear registration and diffusion tensor MRI. PhD Thesis, École polytechnique.
- Arsigny, V., Commowick, O., Pennec, X., Ayache, N., 2006. A log-Euclidean framework for statistics on diffeomorphisms. In: Larsen, R., M.N., Sporring, J. (Eds.), Proc. MICCAl'06. LNCS, Vol. 4190. Springer-Verlag, pp. 924–931.
- Ashburner, J., 2007. A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm. NeuroImage 38 (1), 95–113 (10/15).
- Barnes, J., Bartlett, J.W., van de Pol, L.A., Loy, C.T., Scahill, R.I., Frost, C., Thompson, P., Fox, N.C., 2009. A meta-analysis of hippocampal atrophy rates in Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol. Aging 30 (11), 1711–1723 (Nov, http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. neurobiolaging.2008.01.010).
- Beg, M.F., Miller, M.I., Trouvé, A., Younes, L., 2005. Computing large deformation metric mappings via geodesic flows of diffeomorphisms. Int. J. Comput. Vis. 61 (2), 139–157.
- Benjamini, Y., Yosef, H., 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. B. 57, 289–300.
- Bossa, M., Zacur, E., Olmos, S., 2008. Algorithms for computing the group exponential of diffeomorphisms: performance evaluation. MMBIA Workshop at Comp Vision Pattern Recog, pp. 1–8. June.
- Braak, H., Braak, E., 1995. Staging of Alzheimer's disease-related neurofibrillary changes. Neurobiol. Aging 16 (3), 271–278 (discussion 278–84).
- Brun, C.C., Leporé, N., Pennec, X., Lee, A.D., Barysheva, M., Madsen, S.K., Avedissian, C., Chou, Y.-Y., de Zubicaray, G.I., McMahon, K.L., Wright, M.J., Toga, A.W., Thompson, P.M., 2009. Mapping the regional influence of genetics on brain structure variability – a tensor-based morphometry study. Neuroimage 48 (1), 37–49 (Oct, http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.05.022).
- Chiang, M.-C., Dutton, R.A., Hayashi, K.M., Lopez, O.L., Aizenstein, H.J., Toga, A.W., Becker, J.T., Thompson, P.M., 2007a. 3D pattern of brain atrophy in HIV/AIDS visualized using tensor-based morphometry. Neuroimage 34 (1), 44–60 (http:// www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.08.030).
- Chiang, M.-C., Reiss, A.L., Lee, A.D., Bellugi, U., Galaburda, A.M., Korenberg, J.R., Mills, D.L., Toga, A.W., Thompson, P.M., 2007b. 3D pattern of brain abnormalities in Williams syndrome visualized using tensor-based morphometry. Neuroimage 36 (4), 1096–1109 (http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.04.024).
- Christensen, G., Rabbitt, R., Miller, M., 1996. Deformable templates using large deformation kinematics. IEEE Trans. Image Process. 5 (10), 1435–1447.

12

LICLE IN

M. Bossa et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

- Csernansky, J.G., Wang, L., Joshi, S., Miller, J.P., Gado, M., Kido, D., McKeel, D., Morris, I.C., 842 843 Miller, M.I., 2000. Early DAT is distinguished from aging by high-dimensional mapping of the hippocampus. Dementia of the Alzheimer type. Neurology 55 (11), 844 1636-1643. 845
- Csernansky, J.G., Wang, L., Joshi, S.C., Ratnanather, J.T., Miller, M.I., 2004. Computational 846 anatomy and neuropsychiatric disease: probabilistic assessment of variation and 847 848 statistical inference of group difference, hemispheric asymmetry, and time-849 dependent change. Neuroimage 23 (Suppl 1), S56-S68 (http://www.dx.doi.org/ 850 10 1016/i neuroimage 2004 07 025)
- D'Agostino, E., Maes, F., Vandermeulen, D., Suetens, P., 2003. A viscous fluid model for 851 852 multimodal non-rigid image registration using mutual information. Med. Image Anal. 7 (4), 565-575. 853
- 854 Davatzikos, C., Fan, Y., Wu, X., Shen, D., Resnick, S.M., 2008. Detection of prodromal 855 Alzheimer's disease via pattern classification of magnetic resonance imaging, Neurobiol. Aging 29 (4), 514–523 (Apr, http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. neurobiolaging.2006.11.010). 856 857
- Dickerson, B.C., Sperling, R.A., 2008. Functional abnormalities of the medial temporal 858 859 lobe memory system in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: 860 insights from functional MRI studies. Neuropsychologia 46 (6), 1624-1635 (http:// 861 www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.11.030).
- Du, A.T., Jahng, G.H., Hayasaka, S., Kramer, J.H., Rosen, H.J., Gorno-Tempini, M.L., Rankin, 862 863 K.P., Miller, B.L., Weiner, M.W., Schuff, N., 2006. Hypoperfusion in frontotemporal 864 dementia and Alzheimer disease by arterial spin labeling MRI. Neurology 67 (7), 865 1215-1220 (Oct, http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000238163.71349.78).
- 866 Du, A.T., Schuff, N., Amend, D., Laakso, M.P., Hsu, Y.Y., Jagust, W.J., Yaffe, K., Kramer, J.H., 867 Reed, B., Norman, D., Chui, H.C., Weiner, M.W., 2001. Magnetic resonance imaging 868 of the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus in mild cognitive impairment and 869 Alzheimer's disease. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 71 (4), 441-447.
- Duchesne, S., Caroli, A., Geroldi, C., Barillot, C., Frisoni, G.B., Collins, D.L., 2008. MRI-870 871 based automated computer classification of probable ad versus normal controls. 872 IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging 27 (4), 509-520 (Apr, http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1109/ 873 TMI.2007.908685)
- 874 Fan, Y., Batmanghelich, N., Clark, C.M., Davatzikos, C., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroima-875 ging Initiative, 2008a. Spatial patterns of brain atrophy in MCI patients, identified 876 via high-dimensional pattern classification, predict subsequent cognitive decline. 877 Neuroimage 39 (4), 1731-1743 (Feb).
- Fan, Y., Resnick, S.M., Wu, X., Davatzikos, C., 2008b. Structural and functional biomarkers of 878 879 prodromal Alzheimer's disease: a high-dimensional pattern classification study. Neuro-880 image 41 (2), 277-285 (http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.02.043).
- 881 Frackowiak, R.S.J., 2004. Human Brain Function. Academic Press, pp. 707-724. Ch. 36. 882 Morphometry.
- 883 Good, C.D., Johnsrude, I.S., Ashburner, J., Henson, R.N., Friston, K.J., Frackowiak, R.S., 2001. A voxel-based morphometric study of ageing in 465 normal adult human brains. 884 Neuroimage 14 (1 Pt 1), 21-36 (http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2001.0786). 885 Hager, W.W., Zhang, H., 2006. A survey of non-linear conjugate gradient methods. Pac. J. 886
- 887 Optim. 2, 35-58. Hernandez, M., Bossa, M., Olmos, S., 2009. Registration of anatomical images using
- 888 889 paths of diffeomorphisms parameterized with stationary vector field flows. Int. J. 890 Comput. Vis. 85 (3), 291-306.
- Hernandez, M., Bossa, M.N., Olmos, S., 2007. Registration of anatomical images using 891 geodesic paths of diffeomorphisms parameterized with stationary vector fields. 892 MMBIA Workshop at Int Conf Computer Vision, pp. 1–8. 893
- Hochberg, Y., Tamhane, A., 1987. Multiple Comparison Procedures. John Wiley & Sons. 894 Hua, X., Lee, S., Yanovsky, I., Leow, A.D., Chou, Y.-Y., Ho, A.J., Gutman, B., Toga, A.W., Jack, 895
- C.R., Bernstein, M.A., Reiman, E.M., Harvey, D.J., Kornak, J., Schuff, N., Alexander, G.E., 896 897 Weiner, M.W., Thompson, P.M., Initiative, A.D.N., 2009. Optimizing power to track 898 brain degeneration in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment with 899 tensor-based morphometry: an ADNI study of 515 subjects. Neuroimage 48 (4), 900 668-681 (Dec).
- Hua, X., Leow, A.D., Lee, S., Klunder, A.D., Toga, A.W., Lepore, N., Chou, Y.-Y., Brun, C., 901 Chiang, M.-C., Barysheva, M., Jack, C.R., Bernstein, M.A., Britson, P.J., Ward, C.P., 902 Whitwell, J.L., Borowski, B., Fleisher, A.S., Fox, N.C., Boyes, R.G., Barnes, J., Harvey, D., 903 Kornak, J., Schuff, N., Boreta, L., Alexander, G.E., Weiner, M.W., Thompson, P.M., 904 905 Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, 2008a. 3D characterization of brain atrophy in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment using tensor-based 906 morphometry. Neuroimage 41 (1), 19-34 (http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. 907 neuroimage.2008.02.010). 908
- Hua, X., Leow, A.D., Parikshak, N., Lee, S., Chiang, M.-C., Toga, A.W., Jack, C.R., Weiner, M.W., 909 Thompson, P.M., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, 2008b. Tensor-based 910 morphometry as a neuroimaging biomarker for Alzheimer's disease: an MRI study of 911 676 AD, MCI, and normal subjects. Neuroimage 43 (3), 458-469. 912
- 913 Jack, C.R., Petersen, R.C., Xu, Y.C., O'Brien, P.C., Smith, G.E., Ivnik, R.J., Boeve, B.F., Waring, S.C., Tangalos, E.G., Kokmen, E., 1999. Prediction of AD with MRI-based 914 hippocampal volume in mild cognitive impairment. Neurology 52 (7), 1397-1403. 915 Joshi, S., Davis, B., Jomier, M., Gerig, G., 2004. Unbiased diffeomorphic atlas construction 916
- for computational anatomy. NeuroImage 23, 151–160. 917 Kantarci, K., Xu, Y., Shiung, M.M., O'Brien, P.C., Cha, R.H., Smith, G.E., Ivnik, R.J., Boeve, B.F., 918
- Edland, S.D., Kokmen, E., Tangalos, E.G., Petersen, R.C., Jack, C.R., 2002. Comparative 919 diagnostic utility of different MR modalities in mild cognitive impairment and 920 Alzheimer's disease. Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord. 14 (4), 198-207. 921
- 922 Krasuski, J.S., Alexander, G.E., Horwitz, B., Daly, E.M., Murphy, D.G., Rapoport, S.I., Schapiro, M.B., 1998. Volumes of medial temporal lobe structures in patients with 923 Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment (and in healthy controls). Biol. 924 Psychiatry 43 (1), 60-68 (Jan). 925
- Laakso, M.P., Soininen, H., Partanen, K., Helkala, E.L., Hartikainen, P., Vainio, P., 926 927Hallikainen, M., Hänninen, T., Riekkinen, P.J., 1995. Volumes of hippocampus,

amygdala and frontal lobes in the MRI-based diagnosis of early Alzheimer's 928 disease: correlation with memory functions. J. Neural Trans. Park. Dis. Dement. 929 Sect. 9 (1), 73-86. 930

- Lee, A.D., Leow, A.D., Lu, A., Reiss, A.L., Hall, S., Chiang, M.-C., Toga, A.W., Thompson, P. 931 M., 2007. 3D pattern of brain abnormalities in Fragile X syndrome visualized using 932 tensor-based morphometry. Neuroimage 34 (3), 924-938 (Feb, http://www.dx. 933 doi.org/10.1016/i.neuroimage.2006.09.043)
- Leow, A.D., Klunder, A.D., Jack, C.R., Toga, A.W., Dale, A.M., Bernstein, M.A., Britson, P.J., 935 Gunter, J.L., Ward, C.P., Whitwell, J.L., Borowski, B.J., Fieisher, A.S., Fox, N.C., Harvey, D., Kornak, J., Schuff, N., Studholme, C., Alexander, G.E., Weiner, M.W., Thompson, P.M., 936 937 Study, A.D.N.I.P.P., 2006. Longitudinal stability of MRI for mapping brain change using 938 tensor-based morphometry. Neuroimage 31 (2), 627-640. 939 940
- Leow, A.D., Yanovsky, I., Chiang, M.-C., Lee, A.D., Klunder, A.D., Lu, A., Becker, J.T., Davis, S.W., Toga, A.W., Thompson, P.M., 2007. Statistical properties of Jacobian maps and the realization of unbiased large-deformation nonlinear image registration. IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging 26 (6), 822-832 (http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2007.892646).
- Leow, A.D., Yanovsky, I., Parikshak, N., Hua, X., Lee, S., Toga, A.W., Jack, C.R., Bernstein, M.A., 944 Britson, P.J., Gunter, J.L., Ward, C.P., Borowski, B., Shaw, L.M., Trojanowski, J.Q., Fleisher, A.S., Harvey, D., Kornak, J., Schuff, N., Alexander, G.E., Weiner, M.W., 945 946 Thompson, P.M., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, 2009. Alzheimer's 947 disease neuroimaging initiative: a one-year follow up study using tensor-based 948 morphometry correlating degenerative rates, biomarkers and cognition. Neuroimage 949 45 (3), 645-655. 950 951
- Lepore, N., Brun, C., Chou, Y.Y., Chiang, M.C., Dutton, R.A., Hayashi, K.M., Luders, E., Lopez, O.L., Aizenstein, H.J., Toga, A.W., Becker, J.T., Thompson, P.M., 2008. Generalized tensor-based morphometry of HIV/AIDS using multivariate statistics on deformation tensors. IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging 27 (1), 129-141 (http://www. dx.doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2007.906091).
- Lepore, N., Brun, C., Pennec, X., Chou, Y.-Y., Lopez, O.L., Aizenstein, H.J., Becker, J.T., Toga, A.W., Thompson, P.M., 2007. Mean template for tensor-based morphometry using deformation tensors. In: Ayache, N., Ourselin, S., Maeder, A. (Eds.), Proc. MICCAI'07: LNCS, Vol. 4792, pp. 826-833.
- Lepore, N., Brun, C.A., Chiang, M.-C., Chou, Y.-Y., Dutton, R.A., Hayashi, K.M., Lopez, O.L., Aizenstein, H.J., Toga, A.W., Becker, J.T., Thompson, P.M., 2006. Multivariate statistics of the Jacobian matrices in tensor based morphometry and their application to HIV/AIDS. In: Larsen, R., Nielsen, M., Sporring, J. (Eds.), Proc. MICCAI'06. LNCS, Vol. 4190. Springer-Verlag, pp. 191-198.
- Mazziotta, J., Toga, A., Evans, A., Fox, P., Lancaster, J., Zilles, K., Woods, R., Paus, T., 965 Simpson, G., Pike, B., Holmes, C., Collins, L., Thompson, P., MacDonald, D., Iacoboni, 966 Schormann, T., Amunts, K., Palomero-Gallagher, N., Geyer, S., Parsons, L., Narr, 967K., Kabani, N., Goualher, G.L., Boomsma, D., Cannon, T., Kawashima, R., Mazoyer, B., 968 2001. A probabilistic atlas and reference system for the human brain: International 969 Consortium for Brain Mapping (ICBM). Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 356 970 (1412), 1293-1322 (Aug, http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2001.0915) 971 972
- C., Fan, Y., Davatzikos, C., 2009. Baseline and longitudinal patterns of brain Misra, atrophy in MCI patients, and their use in prediction of short-term conversion to AD: results from ADNI. Neuroimage 44 (4), 1415-1422 (http://www.dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.10.031).
- Modersitzki, J., 2004. Numerical Methods for Image Registration. Oxford University Press.
- Modrego, P.J., Fayed, N., Pina, M.A., 2005. Conversion from mild cognitive impairment to probable Alzheimer's disease predicted by brain magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Am. J. Psychiatry 162 (4), 667-675 (http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1176/appi. aip.162.4.667).
- Morra, J.H., Tu, Z., Apostolova, L.G., Green, A.E., Avedissian, C., Madsen, S.K., Parikshak, N., Hua, X., Toga, A.W., Jack, C.R., Weiner, M.W., Thompson, P.M., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, 2008. Validation of a fully automated 3D hippocampal segmentation method using subjects with Alzheimer's disease mild cognitive impairment, and elderly controls. Neuroimage 43 (1), 59-68.
- Mosconi, L., 2005. Brain glucose metabolism in the early and specific diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. FDG-PET studies in MCI and AD. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 32 (4), 486-510 (http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00259-005-1762-7).
- Mueller, S.G., Weiner, M.W., Thal, L.J., Petersen, R.C., Jack, C., Jagust, W., Trojanowski, J.Q., Toga, A.W., Beckett, L., 2005a. The Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative. Neuroimaging Clin. N. Am. 15 (4), 869-877 (xi-xii, Nov, http://www.dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.nic.2005.09.008).
- Mueller, S.G., Weiner, M.W., Thal, L.J., Petersen, R.C., Jack, C.R., Jagust, W., Trojanowski, J. Q., Toga, A.W., Beckett, L., 2005b. Ways toward an early diagnosis in Alzheimer's disease: the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI). Alzheimers Dement. 1 (1), 55-66 (http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2005.06.003).
- Nichols, T., Hayasaka, S., 2003. Controlling the familywise error rate in functional neuroimaging: a comparative review. Stat. Methods Med. Res. 12 (5), 419-446 (Oct).
- Nocedal, J., Wright, S., 1999. Numerical optimization. Springer Series in Operations Research. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Nordberg, A., 2008. Amyloid plaque imaging in vivo: current achievement and future prospects. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 35 (Suppl 1), S46-S50 (http://www.dx. doi.org/10.1007/s00259-007-0700-2).
- Patenaude, B., 2007. Bayesian statistical models of shape and appearance for subcortical brain segmentation. Ph.D. thesis, University of Oxford.
- Pennanen, C., Kivipelto, M., Tuomainen, S., Hartikainen, P., Hänninen, T., Laakso, M.P., Hallikainen, M., Vanhanen, M., Nissinen, A., Helkala, E.-L., Vainio, P., Vanninen, R., Partanen, K., Soininen, H., 2004. Hippocampus and entorhinal cortex in mild cognitive impairment and early AD. Neurobiol. Aging 25 (3), 303–310 (http:// www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0197-4580(03)00084-8)
- Petersen, R.C., 2004. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. J. Intern. Med. 256 (3), 183-194 (http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.2004.01388.x).

13

934

941

942

943

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009 1010 1011 1012 1013

14

M. Bossa et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

- 1014 Petersen, R.C., Doody, R., Kurz, A., Mohs, R.C., Morris, J.C., Rabins, P.V., Ritchie, K., Rossor, 1015 M., Thal, L., Winblad, B., 2001. Current concepts in mild cognitive impairment. Arch. Neurol. 58 (12), 1985-1992. 1016
- Oiu A Fennema-Notestine C Dale AM Miller M L Alzheimer's Disease Neuroima-1017 ging Initiative, 2009. Regional shape abnormalities in mild cognitive impairment 1018 and Alzheimer's disease. Neuroimage 45 (3), 656-661. 1019
- Querbes, O., Aubry, F., Pariente, J., Lotterie, J.-A., Démonet, J.-F., Duret, V., Puel, M., Berry, I., 1020 Fort, J.-C., Celsis, P., Initiative, A.D.N., 2009. Early diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease using 1021 cortical thickness: impact of cognitive reserve. Brain 132 (Pt 8), 2036-2047 (Aug). 1022
- Ridgway, G., Whitcher, B., Nichols, T., Ourselin, S., Hill, D., Fox, N., 2008. Longitudinal 1023 1024 multivariate tensor- and searchlight-based morphometry using permutation testing. Proc. 14th Org Human Brain Mapping. 1025
- Schuff, N., Woerner, N., Boreta, L., Kornfield, T., Shaw, L.M., Trojanowski, J.Q., Thompson, 1026 P.M., Jack, C.R., Weiner, M.W., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, 2009. 1027 1028 MRI of hippocampal volume loss in early Alzheimer's disease in relation to ApoE 1029 genotype and biomarkers. Brain 132 (Pt 4), 1067-1077.
- 1030 Smith, S.M., Jenkinson, M., Woolrich, M.W., Beckmann, C.F., Behrens, T.E.J., Johansen-Berg, 1031 H., Bannister, P.R., Luca, M.D., Drobnjak, I., Flitney, D.E., Niazy, R.K., Saunders, J., Vickers, J., Zhang, Y., Stefano, N.D., Brady, J.M., Matthews, P.M., 2004. Advances in functional and 1032
- 1033 structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. Neuroimage 23 (Suppl 1), 1034 S208-S219 (http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.07.051)
- Studholme, C., Cardenas, V., Blumenfeld, R., Schuff, N., Rosen, H.J., Miller, B., Weiner, M., 1035 1036 2004. Deformation tensor morphometry of semantic dementia with quantitative 1037 validation. Neuroimage 21 (4), 1387-1398 (Apr, http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. 1038 neuroimage.2003.12.009).
- Styner, M., Gerig, G., Lieberman, J., Jones, D., Weinberger, D., 2003. Statistical shape 1039 1040 analysis of neuroanatomical structures based on medial models. Med. Image Anal. 7 1041 (3), 207-220.
- 1070

Thirion, I.P., 1998. Image matching as a diffusion process: an analogy with Maxwell's demons. Med. Image Anal. 2 (3), 243-260.

1042

1043

1044

1045

1047

1053

1054

- Thompson, P.M., Hayashi, K.M., Dutton, R.A., Chiang, M.-C., Leow, A.D., Sowell, E.R., Zubicaray, G.D., Becker, J.T., Lopez, O.L., Aizenstein, H.J., Toga, A.W., 2007. Tracking Alzheimer's disease. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1097, 183-214 (http://www.dx.doi.org/ 1046 10.1196/annals.1379.017).
- Vercauteren, T., Pennec, X., Perchant, A., Ayache, N., Oct 2007. Non-parametric 1048 diffeomorphic image registration with the demons algorithm. In: N. Ayache, S.O., 1049 Maeder, A. (Eds.), Proc. MICCAI. Vol. 4792 of LNCS. Vol. 10. Springer-Verlag, 1050 Brisbane, Australia, pp. 319-326. 1051 1052
- Vercauteren, T., Pennec, X., Perchant, A., Ayache, N., 2008. Symmetric log-domain diffeomorphic registration: a demons-based approach. In: Proc. MICCAI'08. Vol 5241 LNCS. Vol. 11. Springer-Verlag, New York, USA, pp. 754-761.
- Vercauteren, T., Pennec, X., Perchant, A., Ayache, N., 2009. Diffeomorphic demons: 1055 efficient non-parametric image registration. Neuroimage 45 (1 Suppl), S61-S72 1056(Mar, http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.10.040). 1057
- Wang, L., Beg, F., Ratnanather, T., Ceritoglu, C., Younes, L., Morris, J.C., Csernansky, J.G., 1058 Miller, M.I., 2007. Large deformation diffeomorphism and momentum based 1059 hippocampal shape discrimination in dementia of the Alzheimer type. IEEE 1060 Trans. Med. Imaging 26 (4), 462-470 (http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2005. 1061 853923) 1062
- Winblad, B., Palmer, K., Kivipelto, M., Jelic, V., Fratiglioni, L., Wahlund, L.-O., Nordberg, 1063 A., Bäckman, L., Albert, M., Almkvist, O., Arai, H., Basun, H., Blennow, K., de Leon, M., DeCarli, C., Erkinjuntti, T., Giacobini, E., Graff, C., Hardy, J., Jack, C., Jorm, A., Ritchie, 1064 1065 K., van Duijn, C., Visser, P., Petersen, R.C., 2004. Mild cognitive impairment -1066 beyond controversies, towards a consensus: report of the international working 1067 group on mild cognitive impairment. J. Intern. Med. 256 (3), 240-246 (http:// 1068 www.dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.2004.01380.x). 1069