



## The frequency and influence of dementia risk factors in prodromal Alzheimer's disease



Isabelle Bos<sup>a,\*</sup>, Stephanie J. Vos<sup>a</sup>, Lutz Frölich<sup>b,c</sup>, Johannes Kornhuber<sup>b,d</sup>, Jens Wiltfang<sup>b,e</sup>, Wolfgang Maier<sup>b,f</sup>, Oliver Peters<sup>b,g</sup>, Eckhart Rüter<sup>b,h</sup>, Sebastiaan Engelborghs<sup>i,j</sup>, Ellis Niemantsverdriet<sup>j</sup>, Ellen Elisa De Roeck<sup>j,k</sup>, Magda Tsolaki<sup>l</sup>, Yvonne Freund-Levi<sup>m,n</sup>, Peter Johannsen<sup>o</sup>, Rik Vandenberghe<sup>p,q</sup>, Alberto Lleó<sup>r</sup>, Daniel Alcolea<sup>r</sup>, Giovanni B. Frisoni<sup>s,t,u</sup>, Samantha Galluzzi<sup>u</sup>, Flavio Nobili<sup>s,v</sup>, Silvia Morbelli<sup>s,w</sup>, Alexander Drzezga<sup>s,x</sup>, Mira Didic<sup>s,y,z</sup>, Bart N. van Berckel<sup>s,aa</sup>, Eric Salmon<sup>bb,cc</sup>, Christine Bastin<sup>cc</sup>, Solene Dauby<sup>bb</sup>, Isabel Santana<sup>bb</sup>, Inês Baldeiras<sup>dd</sup>, Alexandre de Mendonça<sup>ee</sup>, Dina Silva<sup>ee</sup>, Anders Wallin<sup>ff</sup>, Arto Nordlund<sup>ff</sup>, Preciosa M. Coloma<sup>gg</sup>, Angelika Wientzek<sup>hh,ii</sup>, Myriam Alexander<sup>hh</sup>, Gerald P. Novak<sup>jj</sup>, Mark Forrest Gordon<sup>kk</sup>, the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative<sup>l</sup>, Åsa K. Wallin<sup>ll</sup>, Harald Hampel<sup>mm</sup>, Hilkka Soininen<sup>nn</sup>, Sanna-Kaisa Herukka<sup>nn</sup>, Philip Scheltens<sup>oo</sup>, Frans R. Verhey<sup>a</sup>, Pieter Jelle Visser<sup>a,oo</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Neuropsychology, Maastricht University, School for Mental Health and Neuroscience, Alzheimer Center Limburg, Maastricht, Netherlands

<sup>b</sup> On behalf of German Dementia Competence Network

<sup>c</sup> Department of Geriatric Psychiatry, Zentralinstitut für Seelische Gesundheit, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany

<sup>d</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Friedrich-Alexander University of Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany

<sup>e</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Center (UMC), Georg-August-University, Göttingen, Germany

<sup>f</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Bonn, German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Bonn, Germany

<sup>g</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Charité Berlin, Berlin, Germany

<sup>h</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

<sup>i</sup> Department of Neurology and Memory Clinic, Hospital Network Antwerp (ZNA) Middelheim and Hoge Beuken, Antwerp, Belgium

<sup>j</sup> Reference Center for Biological Markers of Dementia (BIODEM), University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

<sup>k</sup> Department of Clinical and Lifespan Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium

<sup>l</sup> 3rd Department of Neurology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Memory and Dementia Center, "G Papanicolaou" General Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece

<sup>m</sup> Division of Clinical Geriatrics, Department of Neurobiology, Caring Sciences and Society (NVS), Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden

<sup>n</sup> Department of Geriatric Medicine, Karolinska University Hospital Huddinge, Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>o</sup> Danish Dementia Research Centre, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>p</sup> Department of Neurology, University of Hospital Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>q</sup> Laboratory for Cognitive Neurology, Department of Neurosciences, KU Leuven, Belgium

<sup>r</sup> Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>s</sup> On behalf of the EADC-PET consortium

<sup>t</sup> Geneva Neuroscience Center, University Hospital and University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

<sup>u</sup> IRCCS San Giovanni di Dio Fatebenefratelli, Brescia, Italy

<sup>v</sup> Clinical Neurology, Department of Neurosciences (DINOEMI), University of Genoa and IRCCS AOU San Martino-IST, Genoa, Italy

<sup>w</sup> Nuclear Medicine, Department of Health Science (DISSAL), University of Genoa IRCCS AOU San Martino-IST, Genoa, Italy

<sup>x</sup> Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

<sup>y</sup> AP-HM Hôpitaux de la Timone, Service de Neurologie et Neuropsychologie, Marseille, France

<sup>z</sup> Aix-Marseille Université, INSERM, Institut de Neurosciences des Systèmes, Marseille, France

<sup>aa</sup> Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

<sup>bb</sup> Department of Neurology and Memory Clinic, CHU Liège, Liège, Belgium

<sup>cc</sup> GIGA-CRC in vivo Imaging, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

<sup>dd</sup> Center for Neuroscience and Cell Biology, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Neurology, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

<sup>ee</sup> Institute of Molecular Medicine and Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon, Portugal

\* Corresponding author at: Department of Psychiatry & Neuropsychology, School for Mental Health and Neuroscience, Alzheimer Center Limburg, Maastricht University Universiteitssingel 40, Box 34, P.O. Box 616, 6200 MD Maastricht, the Netherlands. Tel.: +31 (0)43 38 84113; fax: +31 (0)43 38 75444.

<sup>ff</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Neurochemistry, Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

<sup>gg</sup> Real World Data Science (RWD-S) Neuroscience and Established Products, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. Pharmaceuticals Division, Basel, Switzerland

<sup>hh</sup> PDB RWD (Real World Data) Team, Roche Products Limited, Welwyn Garden City, UK

<sup>ii</sup> Epidemiologische Beratung und Literatur-Recherche "conepti", Herrsching, Germany

<sup>jj</sup> Janssen Pharmaceutical Research and Development, Titusville, NJ, USA

<sup>kk</sup> Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ridgefield, CT, USA

<sup>ll</sup> Department of Clinical Sciences Malmö, Lund University, Clinical Memory Research Unit, Lund, Sweden

<sup>mmm</sup> Sorbonne Universités, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris 06, AXA Research Fund & UPMC Chair, Institut de la Mémoire et de la Maladie d'Alzheimer (IM2A) & Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle épinière (ICM), Département de Neurologie, Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, 47 Boulevard de l'Hôpital, Paris, CEDEX 13, France

<sup>nn</sup> Institute of Clinical Medicine, Neurology, University of Eastern Finland and Neurocenter, Neurology, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland

<sup>oo</sup> Alzheimer Center & Department of Neurology, Neuroscience Campus Amsterdam, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 9 November 2016

Received in revised form 29 March 2017

Accepted 31 March 2017

Available online 8 April 2017

### Keywords:

Alzheimer's disease

Risk factors

IWG-2 criteria

NIA-AA criteria

Biomarkers

Prognosis

## ABSTRACT

We investigated whether dementia risk factors were associated with prodromal Alzheimer's disease (AD) according to the International Working Group-2 and National Institute of Aging-Alzheimer's Association criteria, and with cognitive decline. A total of 1394 subjects with mild cognitive impairment from 14 different studies were classified according to these research criteria, based on cognitive performance and biomarkers. We compared the frequency of 10 risk factors between the subgroups, and used Cox-regression to examine the effect of risk factors on cognitive decline. Depression, obesity, and hypercholesterolemia occurred more often in individuals with low-AD-likelihood, compared with those with a high-AD-likelihood. Only alcohol use increased the risk of cognitive decline, regardless of AD pathology. These results suggest that traditional risk factors for AD are not associated with prodromal AD or with progression to dementia, among subjects with mild cognitive impairment. Future studies should validate these findings and determine whether risk factors might be of influence at an earlier stage (i.e., pre-clinical) of AD.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Various risk factors have been associated with an increased risk for Alzheimer's disease (AD; [Breteler, 2000](#); [de Bruijn and Ikram, 2014](#)). Recently, research criteria have been proposed to identify AD in subjects with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) by their biomarker status, referred to as prodromal AD by international working group-2 (IWG-2; [Dubois et al., 2014](#)) and MCI due to AD by the National Institute of Aging-Alzheimer Association (NIA-AA; [Albert et al., 2011](#)). It remains uncertain whether risk factors are associated with prodromal AD/MCI due to AD, and whether they influence the rate of cognitive decline. This information could improve early diagnosis and lead to new targets for secondary prevention strategies.

Among the best-validated risk factors for AD are atherosclerosis, depression, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, lacunar infarcts, stroke, obesity, smoking, and alcohol consumption ([Breteler, 2000](#); [de Bruijn and Ikram, 2014](#); [Deckers et al., 2015](#)). Diabetes mellitus, depression, hypertension, stroke, and cardiovascular diseases have also been associated with an increased risk of progressing from cognitively normal to MCI ([Pankratz et al., 2015](#); [Roberts et al., 2015](#)). Moreover, an association with cognitive decline has been found in both cognitively normal and MCI subjects ([Jefferson et al., 2015](#); [Kaffashian et al., 2013](#)). Therefore, we hypothesize that risk factors will occur more frequently in individuals with prodromal AD/MCI due to AD. We also expect that risk factors will increase the risk of progression to dementia.

We aim to investigate the frequency of several risk factors in individuals with prodromal AD/MCI due to AD, classified according to the IWG-2 and NIA-AA criteria, relative to subjects who do not meet these criteria. Secondly, we aim to examine whether risk factors influence the rate of cognitive decline.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Subjects

Subjects were recruited from 5 multicenter memory-clinic based studies: DESCRIPA ([Visser et al., 2008](#)), German Dementia Competence Network ([Kornhuber et al., 2009](#)), EDAR ([www.edarstudy.eu](#)), the European Alzheimer's Disease Consortium (EADC)-PET study ([Morbelli et al., 2012](#)), and American Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI-1) study ([Mueller et al., 2005](#); [Supplemental Text 1](#)); and 9 centers of the EADC and/or European Medical Information Framework (EMIF)-AD: Amsterdam ([van der Flier et al., 2014](#)), Antwerp ([Somers et al., 2016](#)), Barcelona ([Alcolea et al., 2014](#)), Brescia ([Frisoni et al., 2009](#)), Coimbra ([Baldeiras et al., 2008](#)), Gothenburg ([Wallin et al., 2016](#)), Kuopio ([Seppala et al., 2011](#)), Liège ([Bastin et al., 2010](#)), and Lisbon ([Maroco et al., 2011](#)). For subjects who participated in more than one study, we used data from the study with the longest follow-up.

Inclusion criteria consisted of baseline diagnosis of MCI according to the criteria of Petersen ([Petersen, 2004](#)), and at least one of the following biomarkers available at baseline: amyloid-beta (A $\beta$ ) 1–42 and tau (total tau and/or phosphorylated tau) in CSF, hippocampal volume on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), or cerebral glucose metabolism on [18F]FDG-PET of the brain. Moreover, baseline data had to be available on at least one of the selected risk factors, as well as information on educational level and at least one clinical follow-up assessment. Exclusion criteria were diagnosis of dementia at baseline.

<sup>1</sup> Data used in preparation of this article were partially obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database ([adni.loni.usc.edu](#)). As such, the investigators within the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of ADNI and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this report. A complete listing of ADNI investigators can be found at: [http://adni.loni.usc.edu/wpcontent/uploads/how\\_to\\_apply/ADNI\\_Acknowledgement\\_List.pdf](#).

## 2.2. Clinical assessment

The clinical assessment is described in detail by Vos et al. (2015). In short, clinical assessment was performed at each site according to local routine protocol. Cognitive impairment was defined as Z-score  $< -1.5$  SD on at least one neuropsychological test, which could be a memory or nonmemory test.

## 2.3. Outcome at follow-up

Cognitive decline was defined as progression to dementia according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (APA, 1994), or a decline on the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) of at least 3 points at follow-up. We used a combination of these 2 measures, as for a subgroup ( $n = 17$ ), no clinical diagnosis at follow-up was available. For sub analyses, diagnosis of AD-type dementia at follow-up was made according to the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke–Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association criteria (McKhann et al., 1984).

The medical ethics committee at each site approved the study. All subjects provided informed consent.

## 2.4. Biomarker assessment

Biomarker assessment was performed according to the routine protocol at each site and center-specific cut-offs were used to define abnormality, as described elsewhere (Vos et al., 2015). Examination of medial temporal lobe atrophy on MRI and cerebral glucose metabolism on FDG-PET were performed through visual assessment.

## 2.5. Subject classification

Subjects were classified as having prodromal AD according to the IWG-2 criteria using CSF A $\beta$ 1-42 and tau biomarkers (Table 1). The NIA-AA criteria distinguish between 6 groups that indicate the likelihood that MCI is due to AD, based on combinations of amyloid and neuronal injury markers. We used CSF A $\beta$ 1-42 as amyloid marker and CSF total tau, CSF phosphorylated tau, cerebral glucose metabolism on FDG-PET, hippocampal volume, or medial temporal lobe atrophy on MRI as neuronal injury markers (Table 1).

## 2.6. Risk factors

We assessed the following risk factors at baseline: atherosclerotic disease, depression, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, lacunar infarct, obesity, stroke, current smoking, and current alcohol use. Not all risk factors were available for each subject. Supplemental Table 1 provides an overview of the available risk factors for each center. The risk factor definitions are described by center in Supplemental Table 2. For all risk factors occurrence in medical history was used as a standard. For some risk factors, we used additional definitions based on rating scales, physical measurements or medication use, based on availability (Supplemental Table 2).

## 2.7. Statistical analyses

Baseline differences between the biomarker profile groups were analyzed using ANOVA for continuous variables and  $\chi^2$  test for categorical variables. The relation of risk factors with prodromal AD/MCI due to AD was tested with logistic regression (IWG-2 criteria) or multinomial regression (NIA-AA criteria). Cox proportional hazards models were used to test the effect of each risk factor

**Table 1**

Classification of subjects according to IWG-2 and NIA-AA criteria

IWG-2 groups	Amyloid marker: CSF A $\beta$ 1-42	Neuronal injury marker: CSF t-tau or p-tau
No prodromal AD	Abnormal Normal Normal	Normal Abnormal Normal
Prodromal AD	Abnormal	Abnormal
NIA-AA groups	Amyloid Marker: CSF A $\beta$ 1-42	Neuronal injury markers: CSF t-tau or p-tau/MTA on MRI/FDG-PET
Low-AD-likelihood	Normal	All normal
High-AD-likelihood	Abnormal	At least one abnormal
IAP	Abnormal	All normal
SNAP	Normal	At least one abnormal
Intermediate-AD-likelihood	Unknown	At least one abnormal
Inconclusive/uninformative	Unknown	All normal

Key: A $\beta$ , amyloid-beta; AD, Alzheimer's disease; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; FDG-PET, fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography; IAP, isolated amyloid pathology; IWG, International Working Group; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MTA, medial temporal lobe atrophy; NIA-AA, National Institute of Aging–Alzheimer's Association; p-tau, phosphorylated tau; SNAP, non-Alzheimer pathophysiology; t-tau, total tau.

on the rate of cognitive decline in the total sample, and for the IWG-2 and NIA-AA biomarker subgroups. All analyses were adjusted for age, sex, education, and center. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 22.0 with the significance level set at  $p < 0.05$ . We corrected for multiple comparisons, using the false discovery rate (FDR) adjustment (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995), taking into account the testing of 10 risk factors. In tables, we reported uncorrected  $p$ -values and we indicated which associations were significant after correction for multiple comparisons in tables and the text.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Subject characteristics

We included 1394 individuals (mean age = 69.7, SD 8.3; 51% female). Seven hundred and fifty-eight subjects had data available on both amyloid and neuronal injury markers, whereas 636 subjects only had data on a neuronal injury marker (medial temporal lobe atrophy  $n = 528$ , FDG-PET  $n = 108$ ). Five hundred and eighty individuals (42%) showed cognitive decline after an average follow-up time of 2.3 (SD 1.2) years. Table 2 shows the characteristics of the subjects classified according to the IWG-2 and the NIA-AA criteria.

### 3.2. Analyses in subjects with both amyloid and neuronal injury markers

Based on the IWG-2 criteria, 302 subjects (40%) were classified as prodromal AD. Individuals with prodromal AD were older ( $p < 0.001$ ), showed a lower score on the MMSE at follow-up ( $p < 0.001$ ) and were more likely to progress to AD-type dementia at follow-up ( $p < 0.001$ ) compared with subjects without prodromal AD. According to the NIA-AA criteria, 142 individuals (10%) were classified in the low-AD-likelihood group, 356 (26%) in the high-AD-likelihood group, 54 (4%) in the isolated amyloid pathology group, and 206 (15%) in the Suspected Non-AD Pathophysiology group. Subjects in the high-AD-likelihood group were older and most likely to progress to AD-type dementia, compared with all other groups (Table 2).

#### 3.2.1. Frequency of risk factors

Table 3 shows the frequency of AD risk factors for the NIA-AA groups with both amyloid and neuronal injury data. Compared

**Table 2**  
Demographics and clinical outcome according to IWG-2 and NIA-AA criteria

Characteristics	IWG-2 criteria		NIA-AA criteria—amyloid and neuronal injury markers				NIA-AA criteria—only neuronal injury markers	
	No prodromal AD (N = 456)	Prodromal AD (N = 302)	Low-AD-likelihood (N = 142)	High-AD-likelihood (N = 356)	IAP (N = 54)	SNAP (N = 206)	Uninformative/Inconclusive (N = 286)	Intermediate-AD-likelihood (N = 350)
Age, y	67.3 (8.6)	71.3 (7.5) <sup>e</sup>	63.4 (8.9) <sup>g,i</sup>	71.3 (7.5) <sup>f,h,i</sup>	66.2 (7.5) <sup>g</sup>	69.2 (8.0) <sup>f,g</sup>	67.8 (8.4)	73.1 (7.2) <sup>j</sup>
Female, n	207 (45%)	148 (49%)	64 (45%)	170 (48%)	25 (46%)	96 (46%)	183 (64%)	177 (50%) <sup>j</sup>
Education, y	10.4 (3.8)	11.7 (4.3) <sup>e</sup>	10.3 (3.2) <sup>g</sup>	11.6 (4.3) <sup>f,i</sup>	11.0 (4.0)	10.2 (3.9) <sup>g</sup>	9.9 (4.6)	10.7 (4.2) <sup>j</sup>
Follow-up, y	2.3 (1.2)	2.3 (1.1)	2.1 (0.9)	2.3 (1.2)	2.3 (1.2)	2.4 (1.3)	2.6 (1.4)	2.3 (1.3) <sup>j</sup>
APOE-ε4 <sup>a</sup>	138 (35%)	184 (68%) <sup>e</sup>	37 (30%) <sup>g</sup>	205 (65%) <sup>f,i</sup>	22 (47%)	58 (32%) <sup>g</sup>	81 (36%)	141 (52%) <sup>j</sup>
MMSE at baseline	27.0 (2.3)	26.1 (2.5) <sup>e</sup>	27.5 (2.9) <sup>g,i</sup>	26.2 (2.5) <sup>f,h</sup>	27.3 (2.4) <sup>g</sup>	26.7 (2.3) <sup>f</sup>	27.5 (2.3)	26.6 (2.2) <sup>j</sup>
Decline on MMSE at follow-up <sup>b</sup>	58 (25%)	114 (51%) <sup>e</sup>	8 (13%) <sup>g</sup>	127 (49%) <sup>f,h,i</sup>	6 (16%) <sup>g</sup>	31 (30%) <sup>g</sup>	329 (38%)	106 (45%) <sup>j</sup>
Progression to AD-type dementia at follow-up <sup>c</sup>	86 (20%)	172 (59%) <sup>e</sup>	6 (5%) <sup>g,i</sup>	193 (56%) <sup>f,h,i</sup>	10 (20%) <sup>f,g</sup>	49 (24%) <sup>f,g</sup>	56 (19%)	167 (47%) <sup>j</sup>
Progression to non-AD dementia at follow-up <sup>d</sup>	38 (9%)	6 (2%) <sup>e</sup>	12 (9%)	11 (3%)	1 (2%) <sup>i</sup>	20 (10%) <sup>h</sup>	10 (4%)	22 (6%)

Results are mean (SD) or continuous variables or frequency (%).

Key: AD, Alzheimer's disease; APOE, apolipoprotein E; IAP, isolated amyloid pathology; IWG, International Working Group; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination (range 0–30); NIA-AA, National Institute of Aging and Alzheimer's Association; SNAP, Suspected non-Alzheimer Pathophysiology.

<sup>a</sup> APOE genotype was only available in a subgroup of the sample: IWG-2 no prodromal AD n = 397, prodromal AD n = 271; NIA-AA low-AD-likelihood n = 123, high-AD-likelihood n = 316, IAP n = 47, SNAP n = 182, uninformative/inconclusive n = 226, intermediate-AD-likelihood n = 271.

<sup>b</sup> Decline on MMSE at follow-up was defined as a difference of 3 points or more and was available in a subgroup of the samples: IWG-2 no prodromal AD n = 237, prodromal AD n = 223; NIA-AA low-AD-likelihood n = 61, high-AD-likelihood n = 259, IAP n = 37, SNAP = 103, uninformative/inconclusive n = 184, intermediate-AD-likelihood n = 233.

<sup>c</sup> Progression to AD-type dementia at follow-up was available in a subgroup of the sample: IWG-2 no prodromal AD n = 435, prodromal AD n = 293, NIA-AA low-AD-likelihood n = 134, high-AD-likelihood n = 344, IAP n = 49, SNAP n = 201, uninformative/inconclusive n = 286, intermediate-AD-likelihood n = 350.

<sup>d</sup> Progression to non-AD dementia at follow-up was available in a subgroup of the samples: IWG-2 no prodromal AD n = 433, prodromal AD n = 293, NIA-AA low-AD-likelihood n = 133, high-AD-likelihood n = 343, IAP n = 50, SNAP n = 200, uninformative/inconclusive n = 286, intermediate-AD-likelihood n = 350.

<sup>e</sup>  $p < 0.05$  compared with the no prodromal Alzheimer's disease after FDR correction.

<sup>f</sup>  $p < 0.05$  compared with low-AD-likelihood after FDR correction.

<sup>g</sup>  $p < 0.05$  compared with high-AD-likelihood after FDR correction.

<sup>h</sup>  $p < 0.05$  compared with IAP after FDR correction.

<sup>i</sup>  $p < 0.05$  compared with SNAP after FDR correction.

<sup>j</sup>  $p < 0.05$  compared with the uninformative/inconclusive after FDR correction.

**Table 3**  
Frequency of risk factors for NIA-AA groups

Risk factors	Low-AD- likelihood N = 142	High-AD- likelihood N = 356	IAP N = 54	SNAP N = 206	p-value, low vs. high
Atherosclerotic disease (n = 1002)	4%	10%	5%	9%	0.277
Depression (n = 1129)	46%	17% <sup>a</sup>	27%	29%	0.004
Diabetes (n = 914)	8%	9%	14%	15%	0.960
Hypercholesterolemia (n = 1001)	43%	27% <sup>a</sup>	38%	38%	0.009
Hypertension (n = 1346)	50%	47%	54%	47%	0.038
Lacunar infarct (n = 497)	29%	23%	18%	30%	0.133
Stroke (n = 1013)	3%	4%	6%	5%	0.787
Obesity (n = 993)	21%	8% <sup>a</sup>	8%	18%	0.004
Smoking (n = 1195)	53%	36%	40%	42%	0.076
Alcohol use (n = 973)	42%	50%	50%	42%	0.352

Comparisons were corrected for baseline age, gender, years of education and center.

Key: AD, Alzheimer's disease; IAP, isolated amyloid pathology; SNAP, suspected non-Alzheimer pathophysiology.

<sup>a</sup>  $p < 0.05$  after FDR correction.

with the high-AD-likelihood group, subjects in the low-AD-likelihood group had a higher frequency of depression (46% vs. 17%,  $p = 0.004$ , FDR  $p = 0.020$ ), obesity (21% vs. 8%,  $p = 0.004$ , FDR  $p = 0.020$ ), and hypercholesterolemia (43% vs. 27%,  $p = 0.009$ , FDR  $p = 0.030$ ). No differences were found between the groups for the other risk factors (Table 3).

Supplemental Table 3 shows the frequency of risk factors for the groups according to the IWG-2 criteria. In the group without prodromal AD, we found higher frequencies of depression (34% vs. 16%,  $p = 0.009$ , FDR  $p = 0.045$ ) and obesity (17% vs. 8%,  $p = 0.007$ , FDR  $p = 0.045$ ) compared with the group with prodromal AD (Supplemental Table 3).

### 3.2.2. Effect of risk factors on cognitive decline

In the total group of subjects with both amyloid and neuronal injury markers, alcohol use was associated with a higher risk of cognitive decline (HR = 1.5,  $p = 0.003$ , FDR  $p = 0.030$ , Table 4). There were no significant interactions between risk factors and NIA-AA group classification, indicating that the effect of risk factors was similar for all groups. Using the IWG-2 classification, the effects of depression, hypercholesterolemia, and smoking were different between the 2 groups, but these differences were no longer statistically significant after adjusting for multiple testing (Table 4).

### 3.3. Analyses in subjects with only neuronal injury markers

Table 2 shows the characteristics of the 258 (21%) subjects classified as uninformative/inconclusive and the 350 (25%) included in the intermediate-AD-likelihood group according to the NIA-AA

criteria. The subjects in the intermediate-AD-likelihood differed on all characteristics from the uninformative/inconclusive group.

The frequency of risk factors for the subjects who had only neuronal injury markers available is described in Supplemental Table 4. In the intermediate-AD-likelihood-group lacunar infarcts occurred more frequently (40%), compared with the uninformative/inconclusive group (16%,  $p < 0.001$ ). There were no differences for the other risk factors (Supplemental Table 4).

In the subjects with only neuronal injury markers available, none of the risk factors increased the risk of cognitive decline. Also, there was no difference between the 2 NIA-AA groups in the rate of cognitive decline (Supplemental Table 5).

### 3.4. Post-hoc analyses—progression to AD-type dementia

When we repeated the analyses with only progression to AD-type dementia as an outcome in subjects with both amyloid and neuronal injury markers available ( $n = 725$ ), alcohol use was no longer associated with an increased risk of progression (HR = 1.3, 95% CI: 0.9–1.8,  $p = 0.164$ ).

Since the ADNI cohort excluded subjects with depressive symptoms (GDS >6), we repeated the analyses concerning depression without ADNI subjects. This did not influence the results.

## 4. Discussion

We examined the frequency of vascular and lifestyle risk factors in prodromal AD/MCI due to AD, and the influence of these factors on cognitive decline, in subjects with MCI. We

**Table 4**  
Effects of risk factors on cognitive decline

Risk factors	Main effect risk factors			Interaction with IWG-2 groups			Interaction with NIA-AA groups		
	HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% CI	p-value
Atherosclerotic disease	1.1	0.7–1.7	0.825	0.5	0.2–1.3	0.159	0.6	0.4–1.1	0.119
Depression	0.7	0.5–0.9	0.022	0.5	0.3–0.9	0.048	0.8	0.6–1.2	0.253
Diabetes	1.0	0.7–1.6	0.907	1.1	0.4–2.8	0.922	1.2	0.7–2.3	0.501
Hypercholesterolemia	0.8	0.6–1.0	0.080	2.2	1.2–3.9	0.010	1.1	0.8–1.5	0.624
Hypertension	0.7	0.4–1.1	0.103	0.7	0.5–1.1	0.103	0.8	0.6–1.1	0.118
Lacunar infarct	0.8	0.3–2.1	0.603	0.8	0.3–2.1	0.603	0.8	0.4–1.6	0.535
Stroke	1.0	0.6–1.9	0.899	0.5	0.1–1.5	0.201	0.7	0.4–1.3	0.263
Obesity	0.7	0.4–1.1	0.111	1.0	0.4–2.6	0.993	1.1	0.6–2.1	0.771
Smoking	1.2	0.9–1.5	0.214	1.8	1.1–3.0	0.017	1.2	0.9–1.7	0.233
Alcohol use	1.5	1.2–2.0	0.003 <sup>a</sup>	0.8	0.5–1.4	0.478	0.9	0.7–1.3	0.624

Cognitive decline is defined as progression to dementia or 3 points decline on MMSE at follow-up. Hazard ratios and 95% CIs were calculated using Cox-regression analyses and corrected for baseline age, gender, years of education and center.

Key: CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; IWG, International Working Group; NIA-AA, National Institute of Aging Alzheimer's Association.

<sup>a</sup>  $p < 0.05$  after FDR correction.

found that the frequencies of depression, hypercholesterolemia and obesity were higher in the group without AD pathology compared with the group with AD pathology. Only alcohol increased the risk of cognitive decline, regardless of AD-pathology.

#### 4.1. Frequency of risk factors

Contrary to our hypothesis, we found higher frequencies of depression, hypercholesterolemia, and obesity, in the group without prodromal AD and in the low-AD-likelihood group. This suggests that subjects without prodromal AD or low-AD-likelihood had cognitive impairment due to other causes than AD, such as depression or vascular disorders (DeCarli, 2003; Gorelick et al., 2011). It is also possible that low cholesterol or low body mass index are risk factors for prodromal AD in this elderly sample. Although obesity and hypercholesterolemia in middle age have been shown to be predictive for AD (Deckers et al., 2015; Kivipelto et al., 2005), other studies showed that this association is reversed at older age, such that low body mass index and low cholesterol increase the risk for AD (Anstey et al., 2008; Johnson et al., 2006). When we compared the frequencies observed in the present study to the prevalence of risk factors reported in meta-analyses and population-based cohort studies (Supplemental Table 6), we found that the frequencies of obesity and hypercholesterolemia in the high-AD-likelihood group were decreased, whereas frequencies were similar in subjects with a low-AD-likelihood. On the contrary, the frequency of depression in the high-AD-likelihood group was similar to that in the general population, whereas it was higher in the low-AD-likelihood group compared with the population-based studies. This would suggest that the difference in frequencies of obesity and hypercholesterolemia between the low and high-AD-likelihood in our study results from a decrease of obesity and hypercholesterolemia in the high-AD-likelihood, rather than from an increase in the low-AD-likelihood. Conversely, the difference in frequency in depression between groups could result from an increase in frequency of depression in the low-AD-likelihood. This indicates that depression can be a possible cause of MCI in the studied population (DeCarli, 2003; DeFrancesco et al., 2009). Clearly there are methodological differences in the inclusion of subjects, definition, and method of ascertainment of risk factors and age range between the present study and the population-based studies. Studies that directly compare frequency of risk factors in prodromal AD to cognitively normal subjects are needed to further clarify this.

#### 4.2. Influence of risk factors on cognitive decline

Alcohol consumption was associated with an increased risk of cognitive decline, independent of AD-pathology. Although this finding is in line with several previous studies (Deckers et al., 2015; Jauhar et al., 2014) that identified alcohol as a risk factor for cognitive decline, other studies have reported a protective or no relation to alcohol consumption with incident AD (Anstey et al., 2009; Ruitenberg et al., 2002). These conflicting results could be explained by differences in study population (MCI vs. cognitively normal), definitions of alcohol consumption (dichotomous vs. categories based on the amount of alcohol use) and the type of alcohol. When conversion to AD-type dementia was used as outcome instead of conversion to dementia or a decline on the MMSE, we found that the effect of alcohol was no longer significant. This suggests that alcohol consumption mainly has an effect on progression to non-AD types of dementia or cognitive decline in general.

#### 4.3. IWG-2 versus NIA-AA criteria

The results on frequency of risk factors were comparable for the IWG-2 and NIA-AA criteria. Also, when comparing the effect of risk factors on cognitive decline, we found similar outcomes when using the 2 sets of criteria. This shows that eventhough the IWG-2 criteria only classify neuronal injury based on tau in CSF, whereas the NIA-AA criteria also include other neuronal injury markers, this did not influence the results. Although the outcomes were similar for the 2 sets of criteria, the NIA-AA criteria provided more insight into which specific biomarker profile was associated with a higher frequency of a certain risk factor, which could be useful to give a more refined diagnosis and prognosis of early AD and age-related comorbidities.

#### 4.4. Strengths and limitations of the study

Strengths of the study are the large sample size, the broad spectrum of assessed risk factors, and longitudinal data on clinical outcome. There are also limitations to this study that should be mentioned. Some of the biomarker subgroups were small and some risk factors were only available in a subgroup or had a low frequency, which limited statistical power. The data used in this study were contributed by different centers and data were not collected using the same protocol. This might have led to variability, although it does reflect current clinical practice. Furthermore, the use of indirect measures (e.g., medical history) of risk factors could have introduced heterogeneity in classification. We were unable to study the potential interactions between risk factors, as not all centers contributed data on all risk factors. We had only limited data available on medication use, which did not allow us to control for this. Also, we could not correct for the duration and the severity of a risk factor, as we had no information on this. Although the mean follow-up was 2.3 years, some individuals likely would have shown cognitive decline at a later stage. Since these findings are based on clinical research populations, they may not be generalizable to other settings.

### 5. Conclusion

In summary, we found that dementia risk factors were not associated with prodromal AD/MCI due to AD in subjects with MCI, and only alcohol increased the risk of cognitive decline, regardless of underlying pathology. Moreover, we found that a lower frequency of hypercholesterolemia or obesity may be indicative of early AD in an elderly population. Although our findings should be validated in future studies, they could have implications for clinical practice, future scientific studies, as well as for the selection of individuals for participation in clinical trials. Different risk factor profiles in subjects with MCI could be related to distinct etiologies of cognitive dysfunction, and therefore may have different prognostic values. Management of alcohol habits could possibly lessen or prevent further cognitive decline. Future studies should focus on the role of risk factors in even earlier stages of AD (e.g., preclinical AD), examine longitudinal biomarkers values, and consider the duration and severity of risk factors. Also, co-occurrence of risk factors and possible synergistic effects on biomarkers should be a topic for future research as we were unable to study this in the current sample.

### Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received support from the Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking under EMIF grant agreement no 115372, resources of which are composed of

financial contribution from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) and EFPIA companies' in kind contribution. The present study was conducted as part of the Project VPH-DARE@IT funded by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7-ICT-2011-9-601055) under grant agreement no 601055. The Dementia Competence Network (DCN) has been supported by a grant from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF): Kompetenznetz Demenzen (01GI0420). Additional funding related to the randomized clinical trials came from Janssen-Cilag and Merz Pharmaceuticals. The latter funds were exclusively used for personnel, pharmaceuticals, blistering and shipment of medication, monitoring, and as capitation fees for recruiting centers. The DESCRIPA study was funded by the European Commission within the 5th framework program (QLRT-2001-2455).

The EDAR study was funded by the European Commission within the 5th framework program (contract # 37670). The Coimbra center was funded by Project PIC/IC/83206/2007 da Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia–Portugal. Research of the VUmc Alzheimer center is part of the neurodegeneration research program of the Neuroscience Campus Amsterdam. The VUmc Alzheimer Center is supported by Alzheimer Nederland and Stichting VUmc fonds. The clinical database structure was developed with funding from Stichting Dioraphte. The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI; National Institutes of Health grant U01 AG024904 and DOD ADNI Department of Defense award number W81XWH-12-2-0012) was funded by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, and through generous contributions from the following: Alzheimer's Association; Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation; BioClinica, Inc; Biogen Idec Inc; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; Eisai Inc; Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc; Eli Lilly and Company; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and its affiliated company Genentech, Inc; GE Healthcare; Innogenetics, N.V.; IXICO Ltd.; Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy Research & Development, LLC.; Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development LLC.; Medpace, Inc; Merck & Co, Inc; Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC.; NeuroRx Research; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Pfizer Inc; Piramal Imaging; Servier; Synarc Inc; and Takeda Pharmaceutical Company. The Canadian Institutes of Health Research is providing funds to Rev December 5, 2013 support ADNI clinical sites in Canada. Private sector contributions are facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health ([www.fnih.org](http://www.fnih.org)). The grantee organization is the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is coordinated by the Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study at the University of California, San Diego. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory for Neuro Imaging at the University of Southern California.

Statistical analysis and drafting the manuscript and study concept and design is done by Isabelle Bos, Stephanie J. Vos, and Pieter Jelle Visser. All authors contributed to acquisition and/or interpretation of data and critical revision of final draft of manuscript.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2017.03.034>.

#### Disclosure statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

#### References

- Albert, M.S., DeKosky, S.T., Dickson, D., Dubois, B., Feldman, H.H., Fox, N.C., Gamst, A., Holtzman, D.M., Jagust, W.J., Petersen, R.C., Snyder, P.J., Carrillo, M.C., Thies, B., Phelps, C.H., 2011. The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dement.* 7, 270–279.
- Alcolea, D., Carmona-Iragui, M., Suarez-Calvet, M., Sanchez-Saudinos, M.B., Sala, I., Anton-Agüirre, S., Blesa, R., Clarimon, J., Fortea, J., Lleó, A., 2014. Relationship between beta-Secretase, inflammation and core cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* 42, 157–167.
- Anstey, K.J., Lipnicki, D.M., Low, L.F., 2008. Cholesterol as a risk factor for dementia and cognitive decline: a systematic review of prospective studies with meta-analysis. *Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 16, 343–354.
- Anstey, K.J., Mack, H.A., Cherbuin, N., 2009. Alcohol consumption as a risk factor for dementia and cognitive decline: meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 17, 542–555.
- APA, 1994. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-iv*. American Psychiatric Association, Washington, DC.
- Baldeiras, I., Santana, I., Proenca, M.T., Garrucho, M.H., Pascoal, R., Rodrigues, A., Duro, D., Oliveira, C.R., 2008. Peripheral oxidative damage in mild cognitive impairment and mild Alzheimer's disease. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* 15, 117–128.
- Bastin, C., Kerrouche, N., Lekeu, F., Adam, S., Guillaume, B., Lemaire, C., Aerts, J., d'Ydewalle, G., Collette, F., Salmon, E., 2010. Controlled memory processes in questionable Alzheimer's disease: a view from neuroimaging research. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* 20, 547–560.
- Benjamini, Y., Hochberg, Y., 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B (Methodological)* 57, 289–300.
- Breteler, M.M., 2000. Vascular risk factors for Alzheimer's disease: an epidemiologic perspective. *Neurobiol. Aging* 21, 153–160.
- de Bruijn, R.F., Ikram, M.A., 2014. Cardiovascular risk factors and future risk of Alzheimer's disease. *BMC Med.* 12, 130.
- DeCarli, C., 2003. Mild cognitive impairment: prevalence, prognosis, aetiology, and treatment. *Lancet Neurol.* 2, 15–21.
- Deckers, K., van Bostel, M.P., Schiepers, O.J., de Vugt, M., Munoz Sanchez, J.L., Anstey, K.J., Brayne, C., Dartigues, J.F., Engedal, K., Kivipelto, M., Ritchie, K., Starr, J.M., Yaffe, K., Irving, K., Verhey, F.R., Kohler, S., 2015. Target risk factors for dementia prevention: a systematic review and Delphi consensus study on the evidence from observational studies. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 30, 234–246.
- Defrancesco, M., Marksteiner, J., Deisenhammer, E.A., Hinterhuber, H., Weiss, E.M., 2009. Association of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and depression. *Neuropsychiatry* 23, 144–150.
- Dubois, B., Feldman, H.H., Jacova, C., Hampel, H., Molinuevo, J.L., Blennow, K., DeKosky, S.T., Gauthier, S., Selkoe, D., Bateman, R., Cappa, S., Crutch, S., Engelborghs, S., Frisoni, G.B., Fox, N.C., Galasko, D., Habert, M.O., Jicha, G.A., Nordberg, A., Pasquier, F., Rabinovici, G., Robert, P., Rowe, C., Salloway, S., Sarazin, M., Epelbaum, S., de Souza, L.C., Vellas, B., Visser, P.J., Schneider, L., Stern, Y., Scheltens, P., Cummings, J.L., 2014. Advancing research diagnostic criteria for Alzheimer's disease: the IWG-2 criteria. *Lancet Neurol.* 13, 614–629.
- Frisoni, G.B., Prestia, A., Zanetti, O., Galluzzi, S., Romano, M., Cotelli, M., Gennarelli, M., Binetti, G., Bocchio, L., Paghera, B., Amicucci, G., Bonetti, M., Benussi, L., Ghidoni, R., Geroldi, C., 2009. Markers of Alzheimer's disease in a population attending a memory clinic. *Alzheimer's Dement.* 5, 307–317.
- Gorelick, P.B., Scuteri, A., Black, S.E., Decarli, C., Greenberg, S.M., Iadecola, C., Launer, J.J., Laurent, S., Lopez, O.L., Nyenhuis, D., Petersen, R.C., Schneider, J.A., Tzourio, C., Arnett, D.K., Bennett, D.A., Chui, H.C., Higashida, R.T., Lindquist, R., Nilsson, P.M., Roman, G.C., Selkoe, F.W., Sehadri, S. American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention Council on Cardiovascular Nursing, Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention and Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia, 2011. Vascular contributions to cognitive impairment and dementia: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 42, 2672–2713.
- Jauhar, S., Marshall, E.J., Smith, I.D., 2014. Alcohol and cognitive impairment. *Adv. Psychiatr. Treat.* 20, 304–313.
- Jefferson, A.L., Hohman, T.J., Liu, D., Haj-Hassan, S., Gifford, K.A., Benson, E.M., Skinner, J.S., Lu, Z., Sparling, J., Sumner, E.C., Bell, S., Ruberg, F.L., 2015. Adverse vascular risk is related to cognitive decline in older adults. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* 44, 1361–1373.
- Johnson, D.K., Wilkins, C.H., Morris, J.C., 2006. Accelerated weight loss may precede diagnosis in Alzheimer disease. *Arch. Neurol.* 63, 1312–1317.
- Kaffashian, S., Dugravot, A., Elbaz, A., Shipley, M.J., Sabia, S., Kivimaki, M., Singh-Manoux, A., 2013. Predicting cognitive decline: a dementia risk score vs. the Framingham vascular risk scores. *Neurology* 80, 1300–1306.
- Kivipelto, M., Ngandu, T., Fratiglioni, L., Viitanen, M., Kareholt, I., Winblad, B., Helkala, E.L., Tuomilehto, J., Soininen, H., Nissinen, A., 2005. Obesity and vascular risk factors at midlife and the risk of dementia and Alzheimer disease. *Arch. Neurol.* 62, 1556–1560.
- Kornhuber, J., Schmidtke, K., Frolich, L., Perneczky, R., Wolf, S., Hampel, H., Jessen, F., Heuser, I., Peters, O., Weih, M., Jahn, H., Luckhaus, C., Hull, M., Gertz, H.J., Schroder, J., Pantel, J., Rienhoff, O., Seuchter, S.A., Ruther, E., Henn, F., Maier, W., Wiltfang, J., 2009. Early and differential diagnosis of dementia and mild

- cognitive impairment: design and cohort baseline characteristics of the German Dementia Competence Network. *Demen. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord.* 27, 404–417.
- Maroco, J., Silva, D., Rodrigues, A., Guerreiro, M., Santana, I., de Mendonca, A., 2011. Data mining methods in the prediction of Dementia: a real-data comparison of the accuracy, sensitivity and specificity of linear discriminant analysis, logistic regression, neural networks, support vector machines, classification trees and random forests. *BMC Res. Notes* 4, 299.
- McKhann, G., Drachman, D., Folstein, M., Katzman, R., Price, D., Stadlan, E.M., 1984. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 34, 939–944.
- Morbelli, S., Drzezga, A., Perneczky, R., Frisoni, G.B., Caroli, A., van Berckel, B.N., Ossenkoppele, R., Guedj, E., Didic, M., Brugnolo, A., Sambucetti, G., Pagani, M., Salmon, E., Nobili, F., 2012. Resting metabolic connectivity in prodromal Alzheimer's disease. A European Alzheimer Disease Consortium (EADC) project. *Neurobiol. Aging* 33, 2533–2550.
- Mueller, S.G., Weiner, M.W., Thal, L.J., Petersen, R.C., Jack, C.R., Jagust, W., Trojanowski, J.Q., Toga, A.W., Beckett, L., 2005. Ways toward an early diagnosis in Alzheimer's disease: the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI). *Alzheimer's Dement.* 1, 55–66.
- Pankratz, V.S., Roberts, R.O., Mielke, M.M., Knopman, D.S., Jack Jr., C.R., Geda, Y.E., Rocca, W.A., Petersen, R.C., 2015. Predicting the risk of mild cognitive impairment in the Mayo clinic study of aging. *Neurology* 84, 1433–1442.
- Petersen, R.C., 2004. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *J. Intern. Med.* 256, 183–194.
- Roberts, R.O., Cha, R.H., Mielke, M.M., Geda, Y.E., Boeve, B.F., Machulda, M.M., Knopman, D.S., Petersen, R.C., 2015. Risk and protective factors for cognitive impairment in persons aged 85 years and older. *Neurology* 84, 1854–1861.
- Ruitenberg, A., van Swieten, J.C., Wittteman, J.C., Mehta, K.M., van Duijn, C.M., Hofman, A., Breteler, M.M., 2002. Alcohol consumption and risk of dementia: the Rotterdam Study. *Lancet* 359, 281–286.
- Seppala, T.T., Koivisto, A.M., Hartikainen, P., Helisalms, S., Soininen, H., Herukka, S.K., 2011. Longitudinal changes of CSF biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* 25, 583–594.
- Somers, C., Struyfs, H., Goossens, J., Niemantsverdriet, E., Luyckx, J., De Roeck, N., De Roeck, E., De Vil, B., Cras, P., Martin, J.J., De Deyn, P.P., Bjerke, M., Engelborghs, S., 2016. A decade of cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease in Belgium. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* 54, 383–395.
- van der Flier, W.M., Pijnenburg, Y.A., Prins, N., Lemstra, A.W., Bouwman, F.H., Teunissen, C.E., van Berckel, B.N., Stam, C.J., Barkhof, F., Visser, P.J., van Egmond, E., Scheltens, P., 2014. Optimizing patient care and research: the Amsterdam dementia cohort. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* 41, 313–327.
- Visser, P.J., Verhey, F.R., Boada, M., Bullock, R., De Deyn, P.P., Frisoni, G.B., Frolich, L., Hampel, H., Jolles, J., Jones, R., Minthon, L., Nobili, F., Olde Rikkert, M., Ousset, P.J., Rigaud, A.S., Scheltens, P., Soininen, H., Spira, L., Touchon, J., Tsolaki, M., Vellas, B., Wahlund, L.O., Wilcock, G., Winblad, B., 2008. Development of screening guidelines and clinical criteria for predementia Alzheimer's disease. The DESCRIPA Study. *Neuroepidemiology* 30, 254–265.
- Vos, S.J., Verhey, F., Frolich, L., Kornhuber, J., Wiltfang, J., Maier, W., Peters, O., Ruther, E., Nobili, F., Morbelli, S., Frisoni, G.B., Drzezga, A., Didic, M., van Berckel, B.N., Simmons, A., Soininen, H., Kloszewska, I., Mecocci, P., Tsolaki, M., Vellas, B., Lovestone, S., Muscio, C., Herukka, S.K., Salmon, E., Bastin, C., Wallin, A., Nordlund, A., de Mendonca, A., Silva, D., Santana, I., Lemos, R., Engelborghs, S., Van der Mussele, S., Freund-Levi, Y., Wallin, A.K., Hampel, H., van der Flier, W., Scheltens, P., Visser, P.J., 2015. Prevalence and prognosis of Alzheimer's disease at the mild cognitive impairment stage. *Brain* 138 (Pt 5), 1327–1338.
- Wallin, A., Nordlund, A., Jonsson, M., Blennow, K., Zetterberg, H., Ohrfelt, A., Stalhammar, J., Eckerstrom, M., Carlsson, M., Olsson, E., Gothlin, M., Svensson, J., Rolstad, S., Eckerstrom, C., Bjerke, M., 2016. Alzheimer's disease—subcortical vascular disease spectrum in a hospital-based setting: overview of results from the Gothenburg MCI and dementia studies. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* 36, 95–113.